```
0152
 1
                   KODIAK/ALEUTIANS SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
                            VOLUME II
 7
 8
                          TELECONFERENCE
 9
                          March 10, 2021
10
                            9:00 a.m.
11
12
13
14
15
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
16
17
    Della Trumble, Chair
18
    Melissa Berns
19
    Coral Chernoff
20
   Natasha Hayden
    Patrick Holmes
21
22
    Richard Koso
23
    Christopher Price
24
    Sam Rohrer
25
    Rebecca Skinner
26
27
28
    Regional Council Coordinator, Donald Mike
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
    Recorded and transcribed by:
36
37
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
38
    135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2
39
    Anchorage, AK 99501
40
    907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0153	
1 2	PROCEEDINGS
3	(Teleconference - 3/10/2021)
4 5	(On record)
6 7	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: If you want to
8 9	go ahead and start with the roll call we can find out who's online.
10 11 12 13 14	MR. MIKE: Okay. This is Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. I'll do a quick roll call of Council members.
15	Pat Holmes.
16 17	MR. HOLMES: Here.
18 19 20	MR. MIKE: Rick Koso.
21 22	MR. KOSO: Here.
23	MR. MIKE: Sam Rohrer.
25 26	MR. ROHRER: Here.
27 28	MR. MIKE: Christopher Price.
29 30	MR. PRICE: Here.
31 32	MR. MIKE: Coral Chernoff.
33 34	MS. CHERNOFF: Here.
35 36	MR. MIKE: Rebecca Skinner.
37 38	MS. SKINNER: Here.
39 40	MR. MIKE: Della Trumble's here.
41 42	Natasha Hayden.
43 44	(No comments)
45 46	$$\operatorname{MR.\ MIKE:}$ I seen Natasha on Microsoft Teams.
47 48 49 50	And Melissa Berns.

```
0154
 1
                    (No comments)
 2
 3
                     MR. MIKE: Melissa, are you with us.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     MR. MIKE: And, Madame Chair, Mr.
     Holmes, requested a subsistence report from Dave
 8
 9
     Jackson for the Kodiak area, and I'm wondering if Dave
10
     Jackson from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game is
11
     online.
12
13
                     MR. PAPPAS: That's James Jackson, sir.
14
15
                     MR. MIKE: James Jackson. I'm sorry,
16
     James, but you are online, yes, is that correct?
17
18
                     MR. PAPPAS: Donald, this is George.
19
20
                     MR. MIKE: Oh, James -- okay, James
                 So he may be available today, is that
     Jackson.
21
22
     correct, Mr. Pappas?
23
24
                     (No comments)
25
26
                     MR. MIKE: Melissa Berns, have you
27
     joined us yet?
28
29
                     (No comments)
30
31
                     MR. MIKE:
                                    Madame Chair, all are
32
     present except for Melissa Berns.
33
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you,
34
35
     Donald. Good morning everyone and welcome to Day 2 of
     our meeting. I think just for housekeeping, Tina, are you online, I want to make sure everybody knows what
36
37
38
     your rules are that you'd like us to follow.
39
40
                     REPORTER: Yes. Please state your name
41
    before you start talking and.....
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Or a court
44
     reporter.
45
46
                     REPORTER: ....let's not put your line
47
     on hold to answer another phone call because that
48
     causes beeping before the hold meeting, and I think
49
     we're good.
50
```

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Donald -- did everybody hear her?

REPORTER: Is this better.

MR. MIKE: I can have Jared Stone repost that teleconference information number. But, anyway, if you are on Microsoft Teams, make sure that that Microsoft Teams platform is on mute. The Microsoft Teams is only for visual presentations. And the teleconference, the 866 is the one we are primarily recording the proceedings of this Council meeting. But make sure you have that 866 number muted unless you're speaking, so thank you everyone.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Donald. All right, everybody, we'll go ahead and get started this morning. I think the next item that we have on the agenda are under U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge. And just for the record, too, if there's anybody in the public that would like to testify, please let us know and we will be happy to accommodate anybody.

Thank you.

Go ahead.

MR. DELAHANTY: Good morning, Council. This is Steve Delahanty, I'm the -- I'm in Homer. I'm the Refuge Manager for Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge. I will keep a fairly brief report but I did want to give the Council an update on our activities, what we are planning for the coming year.

The first element is, like so many -like all of us really, it's all been about Covid, so that prevented us from doing most of our traditional saling the ship and visiting last year, and doing science and outreach and communities education and things. We were able to do some small bits but not the majority of it. We spent a lot of time and effort this winter developing a safe and smart operational plan, so we do plan to sail the ship. It will be typ -- you know, not a typical year, we will be -- we have, currently, no plans to visit any inhabited communities, just trying to keep what we're calling a Covid-safe bubble on our vessel and all participants will quarantine for a very strict quarantine period

before boarding the ship and all trips will begin and end in Homer, so there'll be fewer, longer trips, but we will be able to do much of our work and it's just really important to us, to me and to the whole Fish and Wildlife Service that we not only keep our own folks safe but our communities that we work so closely with. And of course we all know things are evolving quickly with vaccinations and things so all of this is a bit subject to change, but that's the plan that we have in place right now. We will sail. We'll do much of our wildlife monitoring work but we won't be able to do the interactive work in communities that we really enjoy doing. But we're excited that we'll at least be able to do some of our work.

On another topic, I wanted the Council to know we're continuing work on of a long-term aspirational vision of a rat-free Aleutian Islands so we've involved, oh, the Aleutian Pribilof Island Association and both Adak and Atka have been involved in this process along with various State and Federal agencies. Andjust trying to figure outwhat it would -how could we ever make such a thing come to pass. And we are actively planning, trying to figure out -- we're conducting a feasibility assessment for possible rat eradication on looking first at Great Sitkin Island, and so as part of that we have -- we're doing some rat investigations this summer during our work kind of from Kag Alaska Island, which is immediately east of Adak, the string of islands connecting Adak to Great Sitkin because we want to make sure that those islands don't have rats on them. We know that Great Sitkin has rats and we know that Kag Alaska has rats, we're hoping that the islands in between do not have rats, that would suggest that if we could actually eradicate the rats on Great Sitkin that they wouldn't be able to repopulate by island hopping their way back. So we're working on that.

 We also have obtained some funding to -- that USDA has now for USDA scientists to study the toxicant that is most commonly used in these rat eradication projects because we want to understand particularly the fresh water aquatic impacts of this that have not been very well studied. So that work is ongoing this summer.

Related also to, not only rats, but non-Native introduced or invasive species in general,

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

we have worked really hard to develop what we call a bio-security plan, that's to try and prevent the introduction of undesirable species accidently to other places that don't have them. So it's rats and mice, but it's also seeds and, you know, all kinds of plants and animals and things like that. So these are things that we're doing ourselves, much more carefully cleaning and inspecting our -- and sealing our gear, so that's our focus, is we clean everything very thoroughly, we inspect it to make sure our cleaning was done correctly, and then we seal it tightly in a way that undesirable things can't get back into the gear and then that -- only then do we bring things to these remote islands that we often visit. And we also will be asking cooperators who are working with us on, you know, other scientists or other people doing research projects on these locations to comply as much as possible with these bio-security measures. So we're upping our game on that program.

19 20 21

2223

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

And another topic I wanted to bring to the attention of the Council is despite our lack of ability to connect with people in the ways we would like to in these Covid times, we're pretty proud of doing a lot of online virtual education so we still were -- you know, we still were involved with camps, with culture camps in the ways that we could and our education specialist, Kendra Bush, has been zooming in to classrooms, doing environmental education remotely and sending kits to classrooms to help people teach about marine science and things. We have a -- in your background written materials you can see these links, but we have -- we created a three dimensional virtual tour of our ship, Tiglax, and that's -- so it's kind of a fun way that you can go in and see the various hideyholes in the ship and take your -- kind of a self-tour of the vessel in kind of a virtual reality experience and get little video interviews with crew members as you go from place to place. We did something similar in our visitor's center in Home because it has been regrettably closed to the public for nearly a year now and so we have a 3D virtual visitor center tour that people can do, and we've expanded a lot of our outdoor programming and things that people can do and a lot of things that are on FaceBook that make -- just trying to provide as much accessibility for people as possible in a time when the normal face to face interactions haven't been possible.

1 And the last thing I'll mention and I didn't have it in my background materials, but it came 2 up yesterday, is the Adak caribou issue. So I don't have any new data for you, I didn't have a report 5 because I don't have any information newer than what I 6 submitted previously to the Council. But just by way 7 of a reminder, we were to conduct a survey on Adak and Kag Alaska in 2019, a helicopter based survey and we 9 showed, you know, a pretty significant decline in 10 caribou presence. For years the caribou, when the 11 military was active on Adak, for many years the 12 population kind of roughly stayed around the 500 animal 13 level and then after that there was this big increase 14 in population and we had several counts that were in 15 the high 2000s, 2,800 animal range, this 2019 survey we estimated about 1,200, animals on Adak. And I know, I, 16 17 and I'm sure Rick and others have heard information 18 about hunters, you know, generally they're just a 19 little bit harder -- have been harder to find. Now, I think this year we heard from Rick yesterday that it 20 was a little for the locals because the non-local 21 22 hunters weren't coming in, in the same -- perhaps in 23 the same numbers but generally I've just taken casual 24 phone calls from people who have hunted there for many 25 years and said they weren't seeing quite as many 26 animals as the past.

27 28

So that's my report.

29 30 31

32

33

34

We're excited to be sailing and getting out and being a part of the Wildlife Refuge. I'm just sad that so far, anyway, our plans don't include, you know, visits to Akutan, or Atka or Adak or Unalaska or Sand Point and these places, St.Paul, that we normally take great pleasure in spending time in.

35 36 37

Thank you, very much.

38 39

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Steve. Are there any questions or comments for Steve.

40 41 42

MR. HOLMES: Pat.

43 44

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

45 46

47

48

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Steve, I want to appreciate what you've been doing and I'm sorry things have been tightened up. I -- particularly the assistance you've given the folks at Atka and the trip

out to Attu, and I guess I'd say that I was finally able to get an Attu basket woven by a great-great-great granddaughter from Attu, and that's something I never could afford, never that the opportunity and I just think it's swell that you're helping the folks out at Atka. And I think your folks' trip a year ago up to St. Matthew, that's going to add a lot of information to the scientific world. And you folks are doing a good job.

I did get a comment after last years when you had that telephoto of caribou that might have been dead loss on Adak and one of the locals that was over hunting said that he saw quite a few hides in a similar distribution to the photo.

Anyway, but appreciate your hard work for you and your team and thank you very much.

 MR. DELAHANTY: Thank you, Pat, for the kind words. And just you mentioned the St. Matthew trip, you know, when -- like all of us -- like everyone else, the rest of the World, we're trying to make lemonade out of lemons and so this year because we thought it was not responsible to put our normal science teams on St. George and St. Paul Islands, we, instead, are going back up to the St. Matthew group and are going to have a summer long field camp on Hall Island to do seabird work there. So we're doing the best we can.

 So, thank you, and thanks for the information about the caribou too. We -- it was never clear to us on those photos whether what we were seeing was, you know, winter loss, or remnants of harvested animals, we were just seeing these hide marks on the ground from the air.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any other comments.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  PRICE: Yeah, this is Chris. This is Chris, I have a couple comments for Steve.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Chris.

MR. PRICE: Okay. Hi, Steve. Hey, thanks for your report, and appreciate your efforts to keep moving forward with your projects and what you

guys do out here.

2

4

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

But I want to talk about the rat eradication work you're doing. You know, you know we have an issue with rats here in our community and in some of our smaller local islands around the area that we want to make sure we're protecting and so on. And I'd just like you to consider, you know, looking around here and working with us, the tribe, in terms of like what type of technology or trapping or whatever it is that you do that could be easily exported to a small, you know, a community like ours to consider some of our local issues with rats and how we could try to work on that.

14 15 16

And then that's mostly it.

17 18

19

I was also wondering if you ever were able to confirm the horse population on the south end of Unalaska Island. That was my other question.

20 21

Thank you.

222324

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

44

45

46

47

48

MR. DELAHANTY: Thank you, Chris. terms of the rats, the -- they're very challenged to do -- a big challenge to deal with anywhere but 100 times more complicated in places that have human populations because, of course, priority one would be keeping people safe. The state of the art, sadly, frankly, the state of the art in the world for eliminating rats from where they don't belong on an island is to use rat poison, to use a toxicant, and so it's a very blunt tool and to do it -- it has been done in the world in places where people and pets and things live, but it's much, much more complicated and so we're just -- our basic strategy has been to think about -- Great Sitkin, frankly, is the next smallest of the isolated islands that have rats in the chain that doesn't have a human population, so that's sort of why we went there next, and it's also close to Adak so it makes the logistics easier. But some day, some how, some way we would love to work with Unalaska, with Adak, with Atka, and I don't know when and how that will be. There is research, not done by my organization, but by, you kind of more pure research by genetic -know, geneticists and scientists who work with animal genetics to -- there's conceptual research on something called gene-drive, which basically would potentially allow you to introduce a smaller number of rats to a

place like Unalaska or Adak and the offspring of those introduced rats are all male only and they — basically you swap the population that way rather than actually killing the animals, you just eventually prevent them from finding a mate and they die-out naturally. But that's years or decades in the future. But that's probably, I don't know, that's where I have some hope, I guess, for these really super complicated places like Unalaska.

In terms of the horse situation, I don't have any new information for you. You know there's a large number of feral horses, basically, you know, around the island and then that smaller — the smaller Summer Bay little herd was the one that our — the Friends of Alaska National Wildlife Refuges worked many years ago on a gelding program to similarly prevent that isolated herd from growing, and to the best of my knowledge that's successful but I don't have any new data on that. But the larger group is present.

MR. PRICE: Okay.

MR. DELAHANTY: And I get expressions of concern from, you know, the corporation and such, but I certainly don't have a solution for the issue.

MR. PRICE: Okay, thanks, Steve. Appreciate your report.

MR. DELAHANTY: Thanks, Chris.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other comments.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rick.

MR. KOSO: Yeah. Hi, Steve. Yeah, thanks for your work on Adak there. I know you guys checked out Kag Alaska and you did see some more caribou on Kag Alaska. I guess the question is, are they increasing over there or are they staying pretty much the same.

And the other question I had was, it looks like our population on the caribou has dropped in half from, I think it was 2008, where they had 2,300-

5

6

7

9

10

11

some I think it was, I may be mistaken on that, but it looks like we're getting down to a thousand, 1,200 now and that's only been, what, 10 years or so. But we're getting a lot more hunters coming in with — they got a boat that goes around the island now that brings in, you know, 20, 30 people at a time and they go to the south end of the island where the locals can't get to, and so they get quite a bit of caribou there. And so I was just wondering if — do you think that there should be some regulation put in place to maybe cut the caribou quota down a little bit to make sure we don't kill too many off here in the next couple of years.

12 13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

MR. DELAHANTY: Thanks, Rick. And, really, as recently as was it 2013, I think, was the year when there was still 2,800 animals counted so, you know, it's more than -- well, I should say less than half -- the 2019 count was less than half of the 2013 count. Honestly, it's really common, it's frankly an expected thing, based on previous experiences with large hoofed animals on islands, that their population builds, builds gradually over time and then accelerates really steeply and you get the -- as the animals are reproducing and they have no natural predators and things like that, they do great, but because, by definition, on an island they're limited to that one spot, they eat themselves out of house and home and the population then crashes. So I don't think that's an entirely unexpected outcome and I have no --I don't have any evidence one way or the other but I would speculate, I guess, that a population decline probably has as much or more to do with, you know, a decrease in preferred food availability and stress on animals than it does on hunting regulations. just don't think Adak is set up to support two or 3,000 animals for the long-term, I wouldn't expect that to be the case. There are others on the call with Fish and Game that know much more about caribou and ungulates than I do but we've seen that happen on other sites, St. Matthew Island is the most famous example but it's not the only example where you end up with this little small introduced population and it grows great guns, then they eat everything and then they suffer severe winter loss and things like that.

44 45 46

47

So I hope we don't have that kind of crash on Adak but it wouldn't be entirely unexpected if it did happen.

In terms of Kag Alaska, the 2019 survey found 17 animals on Kag Alaska, so it's really early in that growth period but, yes, it's more than the -- the time before that, I think there were 7 animals or 8 animals, something like that, so it had about, you know, doubled, and I expect that will continue to happen. Kag Alaska, of course, is a lot smaller than Adak and if that growth and then crash thing happens on Kag Alaska it will probably happen more quickly than it did on Adak.

MR. KOSO: Thank you, Steve.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other comments for Steve.

## (No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you Steve. Just maybe one question. When you were talking about the rats, did you include Adak, I know you talked about the problems trying to do something in Unalaska, but did you talk about Adak when you were going through that list of communities, islands?

MR. DELAHANTY: Thank you, Della. It's on the list of islands where we know rats are present and they are a huge problem, both, you know, for the community as well as sort of biologically for the Native wildlife and plants. But it would be in the same group as, in my opinion, as Unalaska, or Atka, where you have human communities, and that makes potential rat eradication just way, way, way more complicated. And -- but hopefully we will get to that point where, you know, I may not live to see it but that Adak wouldn't have rats and Unalaska wouldn't have rats and the Aleutian Islands wouldn't have rats.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. And then one more question just because I don't know the answer to it. When people are going out -- guides are going out to Adak with groups of people, are they getting permitted from somewhere, from -- or are they just going out on their own?

MR. DELAHANTY: Both things are happening. So people can get to Adak and go hunt on their own, but also there are people that operate a conveyance, a charter business, you know, a transport

permit basically to get people. Unless if they -- if they actually want to guide people on the Federallyowned land out there, on the National Wildlife Refuge areas, they need a permit from this office, would be happy to give them that, but so far there There's been a 6 hasn't been interest in that. 7 transportation service that people provide for a fee and there are, you know, Coats Guard licensing rules and I'm embarrassed to not know the answer to this, but 10 I think there's a Fish and Game, a State permit 11 involved, but I'm not positive of that. But unless they go up on to the Refuge areas, the Federal land 12 13 areas, they don't actually need a permit from us. But 14 if they did want to do that we have a mechanism to 15 provide those kind of permits. Sort of -- a little -you know, it's similar to what you heard from Maria 16 17 yesterday on Izembek on the waterfowl hunters, it would 18 be a similar sort of process to that.

19 20

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. Any other questions or comments for Steve.

212223

(No comments)

24 25

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Steve, for your good report.

262728

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

29

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

MR. HOLMES: Sorry, sometimes I forget where my mute button is here. I was thinking it might be interesting this morning to see if James Jackson might be available to give a summary of subsistence in the region because that will kind of give people a little more of idea of what's happening and trends and things like that and how it's managed.

38 39 40

Thank you.

41 42 43

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. Donald brought that up earlier, James are you by chance online?

44 45 46

MR. JACKSON: Madame Chairman, can you

47 hear me?

48 49

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I can hear you.

 MR. JACKSON: All right, great. This is James Jackson, I am available if you guys would like me to give you a brief summary of -- I can't give you the regions summary for salmon but I can give you a brief summary of the 2020 subsistence harvest in and around the city of Kodiak if you guys would like that. I don't have anything written down, this would just be a brief monologue and then you guys could ask some questions.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Well, do you want to go ahead and do that now and then the next two items we have -- or you can do this following the Kodiak and the Izembek reports, and then do your report, would that work?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  JACKSON: That would work fine, too, I'm available.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Let's do that then. Let's go ahead and move on to Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge then on the agenda.

MR. PYLE: Good morning, Madame Chair and members of the Council. This is Bill Pyle, Supervisory Wildlife Biologist with Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge. I will be reviewing some highlights from our activity report for the period September 2020 through January 2021. And I would refer Council members to the report that was mailed -- the activity report was mailed as supplemental material by the Office of Subsistence Management and hopefully you have that in your reference.

So I'll speaking to that report and I'm going to start with down at the bottom of Page 1, talking about brown bear population assessment. surveys were conducted in 2020, however, we hope to conduct the survey this year, a couple surveys. One is our primary bear survey that yields information on bear densities by region and we will pick up where we left off a couple of years ago with the target of Shearwater-Kiliuda area. And we have some operational plans that we're proposing that require some regional clearance in order to be able to do that, but that survey would occur in May. And then typically we do some surveys of bear composition in the summer, haven't done those since 2019 and we're also preparing plans to be able to do those this summer pending regional office

0166 1 clearance.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

Another topic down towards the bottom there and in the following pages I present information on our berry monitoring. Among the berries we monitor are blueberry and salmonberry, species of dietary importance to brown bear and resident peoples. been doing this monitoring since 2015. We have a few preliminary results to share. And over the years we've documented variation in the timing of ripe berry availability of these two species, blueberry and We've also documented variation salmonberry. abundance of these berries. In general, the variation and the timing of availability corresponds variation in soil temperature which is a variable that we also measure in these areas. And we're talking about soil temperature of the preceding winter and early spring and that, in general, is shown in the two charts that follow that text on the report page there, variation of timing of availability of those two species. And so whereas the timing seems to be related to the soil temperature, berry abundance actually seems to be affected by not only winter temperatures but For example, in 2017, we saw, in other factors. general, widespread berry crop loss, and that seemed to be associated with extreme cold and dry conditions. And in the case of salmonberry, and there's a couple charts on the following page that talked to the variation and abundance of these two species, in the case of salmonberry we've also seen large variation in food quality. And best we can tell some of that variation is not only related to temperatures but related to the extent of pollination of salmonberry flowers.

343536

37

38

39

40

41

42

So moving to Page 4 I'll be speaking about Roosevelt Elk. And first I would like to acknowledge the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and our colleagues in the Wildlife Division. I consult with them in regards to the status of elk and reindeer, they pretty much manage the information base on those and do the surveys. And in the case of mountain goat, we work cooperatively with the Department on surveys.

43 44 45

46

47

48

So with elk, which is a species that's managed both by the State and its regulations for recreational sporthunting as well as under Federal subsistence for the Refuge area, the Department then survey, and it's typically in the later part of summer,

pre-season, the 2020 elk survey indicated a population size of 950 elk for Afognak Island and that includes 100 head in the Waterfall Herd, and that's the herd that's on the Refuge. Regarding harvest, 105 elk were harvested on Afognak Island in 2020, including 10 animals in the combined recreational sport and Federal subsistence harvest from the Waterfall Herd.

Speaking with respect to reindeer or feral caribou. Distribution is restricted to the southwest end of Kodiak Island where suitable habitat occurs. Over the last 20 years herd size has fluctuated between 300 and 350 animals. And analysis by the Department of harvest records indicate that 10 reindeer were harvested in 2020.

Mountain goat. In 2020 biologists with the Refuge and Department surveyed approximately 70 percent of the none goat summer range on Kodiak Island during August. Of the 2,392 goats counted, 1,868 were adults and 524 were kids, and if we look at the ratio of kids to adults, that's about -- equates to about 2,800 -- excuse me 28 kids per 100 adults, and that is an index on productivity. And overall that's a pretty high level compared to other areas in the state, 28 per The Department issued 338 drawing permits and 100. 1,079 registration permits. The registration permits primarily are issued down on the southern half of Kodiak Island in the Unit 480 hunting -- goat hunting unit. And as of early February 151 goats have been harvested by drawing permits, and another 163 were harvested by registration hunt.

Next topic is Northern sea otter. The Kodiak area counts were about nine percent of the statewide sea otter harvest, and the pattern that we see in that harvest, speaking specifically about Kodiak, is the three communities, Kodiak, Ouzinkie and Port Lions account for the bulk of the Alaska Native harvest of otters, and that's a pattern that's pretty consistent through the years.

Moving on to migratory birds. Jill Tengeres, graduate student at Oregon State University and Robin Corcoran, the Refuge's bird biologist made a series of presentations at two conference venues. One was the Alaska Marine Science Symposium in January, and then more recently at the end of February to the Pacific SeaBird group. And the focus of all these

talks was regarding results of research here in the Kodiak area of both Aleutian and Arctic terns.

Final bird topic is nearshore marine bird mammal surveys. We currently have some proposals that we've developed that'll be reviewed by the regional office proposing to get back to some of our survey work. A primary survey that we've done through the years is one that addresses abundance and productivity of nearshore marine birds and mammals and we've proposed to resume work on that survey in June as well as August 2021, and the target area will be Afognak and Shuyak Islands.

Moving on to education outreach and other noteworthy activity. The Refuge sent an invitation to teachers and liaisons for students in Akhiok, Old Harbor, Ouzinkie, Port Lions, Larsen Bay and Karluk to participate in the 2022 migratory bird This years theme is birds in calendar contest. language and stories, in celebration of the way birds communicate, ways people communicate about birds and how we connect with each other. Two students, speaking about the last calendar contest results, two students Demi Alexanderoff of Old Harbor and Elijah McElewain of Port Lions were among the many students that were featured in the special 2021 poster calendar.

Next page, the topic is Kodiak Refuge science and summer salmon camp. In 2020 Kodiak Refuge Staff did not visit any communities after March and did not host its salmon camp program. Nonetheless, we were able to send out camp shirts to students provided by camp partner Alaska Geographic.

 To duck stamp art contest in January, the Kodiak Rural school office invited the Refuge to lead a program for the Kodiak Rural Afterschool Art Club. Shelly Lawson, education coordinator for the Refuge, let a watercolor and bird drawing exercise and shared information about the nationwide junior duck stamp art contest with students from Old Harbor, Ouzinkie, Chiniak and Akhiok.

I'm going to skip the personnel update, Michael Brady, Refuge Manager, will be speaking to that.

And I will close by stating that we

have a new Refuge cabin available for public use. The cabin is located at Chief Cove on the Western Spiridon Peninsula and it is now available to the public including rural residents of the Kodiak area. It's one of nine total cabins that we have available.

 $$\operatorname{And}$  so I appreciate the opportunity to present to the Council and I will pass it over to Michael Brady.

Thank you.

MR. BRADY: Thanks, Bill. Yeah, this is Mike Brady, I'm the Refuge Manager at Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge. And we have had some good traction in the last few months to hire a few of our vacant positions. One of them is the VC manager, which as been vacant for a few years now and that's going to be by Natalie Fath, she is not here yet but she'll be from the Elk Refuge in Wyoming.

And we just recently got Erin Strand up here and she's actually physically here in Kodiak, and she's our new Park Ranger.

 So some of the positions we haven't been able to fill yet is the RIT position but, again, I think we have good traction right now and that's been a long time that that's been unfilled but I believe we will get that filled this year.

 The other position is our law enforcement is now Jared Personia (ph) but he will not be here until October. He is going through the Federal law enforcement training right now as we speak. And right now we have an acting from Kenai, Robert Barto is helping us out with law enforcement here at the station.

So that's all I have for vacancies and Bill and I are available for any questions that you guys might have.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Are there any comments for Bill or Mike.

MR. HOLMES: I have a couple.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, a couple here. bill, I noticed -- you know we have Federal subsistence salmon permits and I was wondering where most of those are used and this is just kind of a question on the whole subsistence things that we've been chatting about on salmon this season. So where do they mainly fish?

MR. PYLE: mr. Holmes, through the Chair. Looked at the record for 2020 and could provide a little bit more information on additional years, would have to get back to the Council on that, if it's of interest, but specifically in regards to 2020, where a portion of the folks that we permitted reported, almost half -- looking at the distribution of harvest activity that primarily occurred about 50 percent there in the Buskin area, and the reminder distributed in three sites there on Afognak including Litnuk, Falls Bay and Salazon Bay.

MR. HOLMES: Thank you. Okay, well, that's an interest to me. And then I know you folks have been active on the goat study group here for Kodiak ever since it started, what, 15, 20 years ago, and I think the last action the group took was modifying the registration hunts and — in order to address the increasing population on the south end and also to provide greater opportunity for the villagers on the south end of the island and it looks like you got a fair number on the registration hunt as well as the drawings, and has the population slowed down a bit or is that something that they should be thinking about addressing again.

MR. PYLE: Mr. Holmes, through the The last assessment we had was, I believe, a Chair. couple years ago prior to the Alaska Board of Game meeting and at that time putting the information together in consultation with the Department and other stakeholders, it looked like, in fact, we have had an effect, as which was our goal, on the herd that occupies the registration hunt area 480 down on the western half of Kodiak Island. Prior to the registration hunt that herd component was increasing expeditiously and there was concern specifically that the population would exceed habitat capacity, we would end up with a crash, there would be ultimately fewer hunting opportunities so specifically the purpose of that registration hunt was to curtail growth, in and fact on the basis of the best available data it looks

like that's occurred. There was, you know, evidence of some slight increase in the rate but it's definitely changed and as you noted, Mr. Holmes, the harvest rate is considerably high and it's certainly markedly higher than it was prior to the initiation of the registration hunt. By the way the latest changes were fairly modest that were enacted by the Alaska Board of Game that our current regulations extended the season in that area by another two weeks to the end of March and also required harvest of, you know, there's a bag limit of two mountain goats that are allowed and the change in regulations was to require that the second goat, if harvested, one of the goats had to be a nanny.

So we continue to have concerns about that population down there but it looks like we're having an important effect that is helping us accomplish the goal of stabilizing that herd.

MR. HOLMES: And I don't know if you can answer this or maybe, Bill, maybe Melissa, but I had heard that the local folks were able to increase their harvest, is that the case, Old Harbor.

MR. PYLE: Mr. Holmes, through the Chair. I don't have any specific information on that but perhaps Ms. Berns could address that.

MS. BERNS: This is Melissa. I was just speaking in reference when I did my -- can you hear me?

 $\ensuremath{\texttt{MADAME}}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, we can hear you.

MS. BERNS: Good. It's hard to tell if you can hear me or not. Yeah, with there being less — the deer population a little bit lower or the deer a little bit more sparse in and around Old Harbor area, people were accessing goats and harvesting goats a little bit more this year was my observation. And I'm not sure if Mr. Cry, or Mr. Svoboda might have more information on those to report.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Pat, are you good.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah. And last question I have for Mike and Bill is with these proposals for having sportfish gear be a legal subsistence harvesting

tool on Federal waters and beings that involves the Refuge, and then I don't know -- anyway, who will be available to do enforcement on that because I don't think the State would be enforcing a Federal regulation.

MR. BRADY: I think we're still pretty far from that, we've got to figure out what the authority even is at this point so if it does come down to it and we have to enforce it, you know, we do have officers in the region and we will be getting, like I said, our officer in October. But I still think there's a lot of -- a lot of unanswered questions that we need to work through with OSM and find out where that authority is first.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, that's for sure. Well, at least it'll give you guys justification to get out of the office on a sunny day and go down to the mouth of the Buskin.

Anyway, thanks a lot, bye.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any other comments or questions for Bill or Mike.

(No comments)

MR. PYLE: Excuse me, Madame Chair, this is Bill Pyle again. I just wanted to point out I encountered an error in what I reported to you regarding reindeer and this error is also reflected in the report. This regards reindeer, feral caribou, and I said that 10 were harvested in 2020 and Nathan Svoboda with the Department corrected me and said that, in fact, 33 were harvested, which is probably at the very high end of historic harvest levels. So that's the correction.

Thank you.

MS. HAYDEN: Madame Chair, this is Natasha.

0173 1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, 2 Natasha. 4 MS. HAYDEN: Thank you. I was just --5 there was a little bit of background noise when you 6 told us the location of the new cabin that's available 7 on Refuge land, can you tell us again where that was? 8 9 MR. PYLE: Certainly. And the cabin is 10 referenced in the last page of the Refuge's activity 11 report and the cabin is located in the Western Spiridon 12 Peninsula on the west side of Kodiak Island at a place 13 called Chief Cove. 14 15 MS. HAYDEN: Thank you. 16 17 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other 18 questions or comments. 19 20 (No comments) 21 22 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, 23 thank you for your report. So I have a question, James, I had -- James Jackson, I had emailed Donald, I 24 25 don't know that he got my email, which agency are you 26 with? 27 28 MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Madame Chair. 29 This is James Jackson. I am the area management 30 biologist for commercial and subsistence salmon and 31 herring here in Kodiak. 32 Okay. 33 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 34 that's what I'm thinking, if we can, just go ahead and 35 have you give your report now because -- since we just 36 did Kodiak Refuge. 37 38 MR. JACKSON: Yeah, absolutely, Madame 39 Chairman, I'm ready and available. 40 41 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. I would 42 say go for it. 43 44 Again, my MR. JACKSON: Okay, thanks. 45 name is James Jackson. I'm the Kodiak salmon and 46 commercial subsistence herring and management

biologist. And, again, this will be just a brief

monologue with regard to the 2020 Kodiak salmon fishery

around the city of Kodiak.

47 48

49

 We sometimes get all these Kodiak subsistence salmon stocks and fisheries divided out into so many different projects and Federal and State fisheries, it's kind of nice sometimes just for perspective to actually have a look at the actual fishery which is broken out into all these different stocks.

So so far we have about 70 percent of the 1,300 subsistence permits returned from last year. So far about 15,000 salmon have been reported. When all is said and done it'll likely be close to around 17,000 salmon which is better than 2019, but well below average, which was typically, prior to the previous three years, somewhere between 25 and 35,000 salmon so down quite a bit. So obviously subsistence salmon fishing around Kodiak has been down for the past three seasons, as we all know, last year subsistence fishing was weak again, however I will say we were able to meet our escapement goals.

Particular to the Board, restrictions were needed on Karluk to achieve king salmon escapement goal and in Saltry over there in Ugak, which is a State fishery but it's nice to know about anyways, you know, both goals were met, but those were the two restriction that we had to have last year.

With regard to sockeye, which makes up the majority of the subsistence harvest around Kodiak, second is silvers, but I'll just go through by stock.

Litnuk was weak, but the escapement goal was met and no subsistence restrictions were needed.

For perspective, since we're going to be talking about this in the future, with regard to other stocks around the island of Afognak, the subsistence harvest on all those other runs was a bit mixed. Little Afognak was really good again. Thorsheim harvest was particularly large, nearly a thousand fish were almost taken out of the Thorsheim. Paul's Bay was weak. And Molina was also fairly weak.

Jumping away from Afognak, as Kelly reported earlier, the Buskin was fairly weak but, again, the goal was made and no restrictions were needed.

Jumping over to Ugak, Pasagshak was weak but the escapement goal was also met and no subsistence restrictions were needed.

Like I said earlier, Saltry was weak, and restriction is were needed to achieve the escapement goal but most of that really had to do with extremely dry conditions in the fall and less to do about the abundance of fish. It was not a particularly strong run but if we'd have had a normal amount of, you know, rain, like we used to have, it would have probably been a lot easier to achieve the escapement goal.

Again, the hatchery planted fish at the village of Ouzinkie, it looks like it's a pretty big success. Several thousand sockeye were harvested at the village of Ouzinkie again, and hopefully that project can continue in the future and supply the village with some consistent fish. Since the Aquaculture Association was not able to stock sockeye over in Settlers Cove for Port Lions the past several seasons because Litnuk the previous few years was weak, it's not surprising that last year's return over there was weak.

Now, that's -- obviously there's a lot more subsistence fisheries in and around the island of Kodiak, but those represent the areas where the subsistence harvest reports were the largest. And we don't do any formal forecasting particularly for these small stocks for a variety of different reasons. But one bright light I might shine is there were some positive indicators for Litnuk, so for Afognak Lake, which had a very large amount of one ocean jacks last year, which could be indicative of a decent return for this season.

 And that is my very brief overview of the subsistence fishery around the city of Kodiak, and I'll be -- I'm available for any questions from now to the rest of the meeting.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any questions, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah. James, that's really good to know all those details on subsistence fisheries. And one of the questions we're looking at

0176 on our Council, as you know, is the introduction of sportfish gear for subsistence, and I was wondering has there ever been a case here where the runs have been weak that have left sportfishing operational when the 5 subsistence has been reduced or harvest has been cut 6 back? 7 8 MR. JACKSON: Through the Chair. 9 Holmes, no, not to my knowledge. 10 11 MR. HOLMES: Okay. Because it seems 12 that my recollection things are managed simultaneously 13 with a good linkage between the Refuge folks and your 14 shop and then with the sportfish folks under Tyler and 15 Witteveen, so anyway I think things work pretty good. 16 17 Thank you, sir. 18 19 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any 20 other comments or questions for James. 21 22 (No comments) 23 24 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 25 James. 26 27 MR. LAWSON: Madame Chair. 28 29 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Now, I'm -- go 30 ahead. 31 32 MR. LAWSON: This is Tyler Lawson with CommFish here in Kodiak. I could give a brief synopsis 33 34 about the Peninsula and Unalaska subsistence if you 35 would like at this time. 36 37 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I would -- I'll 38 go ahead and say go ahead on this if it's okay with 39 everyone else. 40 MR. LAWSON: 41 Sure. So we just kind of 42 pulled up some raw numbers here real quick for 2020. 43 We just finished finalizing the 2019 numbers, we kind of lag one year behind because it takes awhile for 44 45 those permits to trickle back into us. 46 47 So for example, so far this year for 48 the Peninsula, we received back about 75 percent of

those permits but over in Unalaska we've only received

49

about 44 percent of those permits. So for 2020 I can kind of give you some rough estimates for places. If you have any questions about like Reece Bay, or Thin Point, or Mortensens since I have some of those numbers in front of me.

And then those 2019 numbers, which are more formalized will be coming out here shortly with our area management report and we can forward that to the Council once that comes out. But if you guys have any particular questions about any of those places I could do my best to answer those now.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MADAME}}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Are there any questions or comments.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, subsis -- Madame Chair, through the Chair, this is Pat. It seems like on reference on the Peninsula Chignik has been terribly weak. Kodiak has not had the most sterling returns on sockeye in particular, has that trend seemed to extend out on the Peninsula, Cold Bay and then out to Unalaska.

MR. LAWSON: Yeah, I'd say in general last year our sockeye numbers were down throughout that area. And in response to the Chignik situation, we had quite a few closures around the DoughBoys and elsewhere to try to kind of compensate there.

But, yeah, in general, south Peninsula and out to Unalaska -- we talked about McLees yesterday, most of those stocks seem to be a bit low for last year.

MR. HOLMES: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any other questions or comments.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Tyler. Since we're kind of doing -- I'm wondering at this point, if you want to go ahead, Nate, and do your report.

48 MR. SVOBODA: Sure. Can you hear me 49 okay.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MR. SVOBODA: All right, thanks Madame Chair, and thank you Advisory Committee for your time today.

I'm going to start off by talking a little bit about the brown bear harvest. As many of you probably know our spring 2020 harvest was significantly reduced due to Covid. We pretty much closed down the season for non-residents, although it still remained open for residents. We had greatly reduced participation. In the spring of 2020 we harvested -- 19 bears were harvested, of those 14 were male or 74 percent, five of which were female. There were no subsistence bears harvested in the spring of 2020. Similarly there were no trophy bears shot in 2020 and the largest skull that was harvested in spring of 2020 was just under 28 inches. So overall it was a pretty reduced season compared to previous years but that wasn't too surprising due to all the Covid restrictions.

However, fall of 2020 was a little bit closer to normal, I guess. Still not up at the harvest numbers that we've had in the past but in the fall of 2020, 55 bears were harvested. Of those, 46, or 84 percent were male and nine of them were female. There was one subsistence bear harvested in the fall of 2020 out of Old Harbor. And we did get a number of large bears harvested in the fall of 2020. We did have 5 Boone and Crockett bears, and for those that don't know, those are bears that exceed 28 inches in their skull measurements, both the width and the length added together. The largest skull harvested in fall 2020 was 29.5 inches which is pretty good size.

With regard to bears in and around town, the level of bear activity this past year was significantly reduced compared to previous years. We still had a few problem bears in and around town but despite the mauling that we had this summer, bear activity was way down overall.

The Kodiak -- as many of you might know, the Kodiak Borough implemented a new solid waste management plan that took effect last July. Some of those changes in that management plan require that all dumpsters and rollcarts be bear resistant. That seems

-- it's a little early to tell but that seems to have made a pretty significant difference with the number of bears coming into town and getting into rollcarts. So we'll continue to see how that goes but it seems pretty promising and it's certainly a step in the right direction.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

5

For those who may remember, I think I provided a couple updates in the past about a program we started a few years ago working with the Kodiak Brown Bear Trust, the Alaska Waste, as well as Kodiak students where we kind of provided High School resources for the high school students, particularly the welding students to modify dumpsters around the island to be bear resistant. I just wanted to report that that program, although Fish and Game really isn't involved anymore, that program continues on to this Brown Bear Trust and Alaska Waste continue to provide resources to the high school for the students to get involved in their community and change these -modify these dumpsters so they're bear resistant. that's pretty exciting to know that that's still going

232425

26

27

28 29

30

31

With regard to our survey, as Bill mentioned, we do joint intensive aerial surveys with the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge and Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Unfortunately last year in 2020, the aerials did not occur due to many of the Covid restrictions that were ongoing at the time. So as Bill pointed out, we do have plans to do the spring survey so we will keep you updated on that.

32 33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

As far as the education component, which I always like to give you guys a little update. As many of you know in 2019 Fish and Game worked with the Old Harbor school on a year long place based learning opportunity that focused on Kodiak brown bears. We worked together and developed lesson plans on things such as bear ecology and life history, as well as the cultural and economic importance of bears to the Old Harbor community. That has pretty much The students and their instructor, Mr. wrapped up. Jeff Bechtel, presented at the 2009 Board of Game meeting in Anchorage, which I know I've shared with you guys in the past, but what I did want to point out is there was a second component of that education program that included the cleaning, preserving and reconstruction of a full grown brown bear skeleton. So

with the help of the Old Harbor students and actually some folks from the military who were working down at Old Harbor at the time, we were able to acquire a large, you know, 10 foot, trophy sized bear, that was shot in defense of life or property, and in 2019 we worked with the students to clean that up as much as we could and then we buried it down in Old Harbor with the hope that the skeleton would be cleaned in the coming year. So we planned on doing that last year but largely due to Covid restrictions the project was postponed until hopefully this summer. So we hope to keep that underway.

And most recently pretty -- the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge has expressed interest in also working with us on the project. So that's pretty great, the more people we can have getting involved, the better. So we plan to do that this summer. We're also hoping to increase and expand the student involvement. We'd like to get either Kodiak Junior High, or perhaps the high school students involved for the reconstruction. It's going to be a pretty big undertaking but I think it will be pretty exciting for the students and a nice addition to the community once it's complete.

I usually like to give you guys an update on the research that we have ongoing but considering time I'll hold that off until the end and then you can let me know if we have time or if you want a quick update on that or not.

Moving on I'll address black-tailed deer. 2019 harvest was pretty average compared to our five year average, 5,541 deer were harvested on the island in 2019. As I mentioned, pretty similar to the five year average. Although we'll see how the 2020 harvest plays out. As many of you know we had a fairly significant overwinter kill of deer last winter. don't have any real solid numbers or good estimates on the number of deer that were killed due to winter severity, but our anecdotal evidence which includes reports from transporters, hunters and guides as well as many of our own observations suggests that it -- you know, we estimate 60 to 70 percent loss of the deer population in some of the harder hit areas of the island. Certainly not everywhere throughout the island, but in some of these harder hit areas it was pretty rough for them. The good news is, deer

population, as you know, typically recovers fairly quickly and at least so far this winter has been relatively mild so we anticipate and certainly hope for a quick recovery of that population.

Moving on to elk, I won't try to -- try not to reiterate a bunch of things that Mr. Pyle stated earlier but as he pointed out, in 2020 the harvest of elk was 105, of those 58 were males and 47 were females. This is pretty similar to the last few years. Our five year average, we harvest about 100 elk a year, roughly. But there seems to be an increase in interest, certainly harvest is slowly creeping up there but the population has been slowly creeping up there as well so there's really no areas of concern on our end.

One thing we would like to do is to increase the cow harvest in some areas, specifically Raspberry Island. So one of the things we're going to be looking at in the next year or so is potentially implementing a registration hunt for cows on Raspberry Island, which hasn't occurred in the past. Raspberry Island is typically our -- what we look at as kind of our trophy bull area and so we haven't had a lot of cow harvest on the island. We've been trying to reduce the number of cows for the last couple of years but just haven't been successful so now we're considering potentially opening that up to a registration hunt. So we'll see how that goes.

The population overall is pretty stable, perhaps slightly increasing in some areas. As Bill mentioned, I think our hard count last year was somewhere around 950 animals. Of course we don't count all of them, or we presume that we don't count all of them, so we're estimating the population to be somewhere probably around a thousand to 1,200 animals. I won't get into the ratios, Bill kind of touched on those. So in the essence of time I won't reiterate all that.

I will move on to mountain goat harvest. As Bill pointed out in 2020 there were 314 goats harvested and so far 151 of them were from the drawing and 163 were from the registration or the RG480 which many of you know is pretty much the western side of the island, or the southern half of the island. I won't reiterate the survey information. As Bill mentioned we covered about 70 percent of the goat -- of

6 7

9

11

our known goat range last year during the surveys. But the numbers were fairly consistent the last few years. And as many of you know and Bill mentioned, we've been making efforts the last few years to slow population For instance, we, a couple years ago, growth. introduced a two goat bag limit in the registration hunt area down on the southern area. mentioned, one of those two must be a nanny, we also lengthened the season. So we've been taking 10 incremental steps to try to slow that population growth and it seems to be somewhat effective. I think some of 12 the areas we're still seeing slight increases but I 13 think slowly chipping away at slowing population 14 growth, it seems to be pretty successful so far. 15 Currently we don't have any mountain goat research There's a project that we started a few 16 underway. years ago but it kind of fell through. You know, we're 17 18 going to kind of revisit that and see if that's 19 something that we can consider here in the near future.

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

Regarding caribou or feral reindeer. As Bill pointed out, and then corrected himself, in 2020 so far the harvest has been 33 animals. This is a little concerning. we've seen quite an increase in caribou harvest on Kodiak Island the last four or five years. You know as Bill mentioned the population has been relatively stable between 300 to 400 animals, But the increase harvest is somewhat historically. concerning. We've pretty much doubled the harvest compared to historical numbers or at least the recent history. Yeah, pretty much doubled the harvest in the last five or six years compared to the last 15 or 20 years. So there are some concerns regarding this high -- this high harvest the last four or five years. have noticed a pretty significant increase in interest in hunting caribou on Kodiak Island, so the other thing we're considering is making -- currently the caribou harvest is just a harvest ticket, so anyone can come to the office at any time, pick up a harvest ticket and go out during the season and shoot a caribou. There's no real restrictions on it so far. But what we're looking at is potentially making that a registration hunt so we can close it down with emergency order if necessary, or least have another mechanism to monitor the harvest and hopefully be able to react in a timely manner.

45 46 47

48

49

50

So that's mostly-- pretty much all I have here. I would be happy to provide an update on our bear and elk research project if you're interested,

0183 but I'm also happy to just answer any questions that 2 you might have. 3 4 So, thank you. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Nate. 7 Any questions or comments for Nate. 8 9 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, this is Coral. 10 Madame Chair. 11 12 MR. SVOBODA: Hi Coral. 13 14 MS. CHERNOFF: Hi, Nate. Thank you for 15 that report. I just had a question about how many bear year since we didn't have a spring/summer 16 17 commercial hunt, how many -- what's an estimate of that 18 number of bear that didn't get taken this year? 19 20 MR. SVOBODA: Sure so in the -- so pretty much the spring, right. So typically in any 21 22 given year we harvest between, you know, it varies, but 23 generally between 180 and 220 animals, roughly around 24 there. In the spring of '20, as I pointed out, we only harvested 19 bears and in the fall we only harvested 25 26 55. So it's a little under half of what we typically 27 harvest. You know those numbers do vary from year to year. But we harvested a little bit under half of 28 29 what's typically harvested. 30 31 MS. CHERNOFF: Thank you. And then 32

another question, when are those available -- are those -- so are they doubling up on the harvest, like this coming spring and fall?

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. SVOBODA: No. No, no, this spring and fall will pretty much be, I guess, quote/unquote, normal, if you will. We're not increasing the number of permits. We're not doing any of that. It's going to be pretty much standard, as we had in the past. And those permits, right now, I believe the spring permits are available right now. The fall won't be available until later on this summer.

MS. CHERNOFF: Same for the big game guides who already had clients who were not able to hunt last year?

MR. SVOBODA: Yeah. So -- just so you

```
0184
    know, so in the spring of 2020 the non-resident hunters
    who had a permit for the spring of 2020 the Board of
    Game made a decision to -- which is the first time
    they've ever done this, they made a decision to allow
    those hunters the opportunity to transfer their tags to
    the spring of 2021. So all the non-resident hunters in
 6
 7
    the spring of '20 had the opportunity to transfer their
    permits to the spring of 2021. Similarly, the resident
    hunters who drew permits for the spring of 2020,
 9
10
    because the drawing had already occurred for the
    residents of the spring of '20 and '21, those residents
11
    had the opportunity to transfer their permits to the
12
    spring of 2022. So all the individuals who did receive
13
14
    permits in the spring of 2020 and couldn't make it due
15
    to Covid did have an opportunity to have their permits
16
    transferred to a future season.
17
18
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Thank you, Nate.
19
20
                    MR. SVOBODA: Sure.
21
22
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any
23
    other questions or comments.
24
25
                    (No comments)
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Pat are you
28
    online.
29
30
                    MR. HOLMES: Yes, ma'am.
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             I was just
33
    checking because I lost my screen on the computer.
34
    Okay, Nate, unless there's any other questions or
35
    comments, thank you for your report.
36
37
                    MR. SVOBODA:
                                   Yes, thank you, Madame
38
    Chair.
39
40
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is Coral, I
41
    have one more thing.
```

43 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. Go

44 ahead, Coral. 45

MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, Nate, I just want to thank -- I think you're involved in the brown bear organization that helped with the bear proof containers. I know that's been ongoing for many years

49 50

46

to get bears off of our streets and out of our neighborhoods and hopefully that's what is helping to keep the bears out of town. I want to thank just everybody for the work that they've done on that. I know it's appreciated by the residents and, you know, we had bears wandering up by the main school and we have them by the preschool and it's appreciated, all that effort that was put in to have that happen.

So I just want to say thank you to everyone who was involved with that. And, thank you, that's it.

SVOBODA: MR. Thank you, Coral. Through the Chair. I appreciate the nice comments. And as you point out, you know, this is certainly a multiple person effort, you know, it certainly wasn't just me, it certainly wasn't just the Solid Waste Advisory Board, or the Kodiak Island Borough, we had a lot of good input from our community members and I really got to hand it to the community for taking that issue seriously and be willing to make these changes, you know, not -- it's not the most convenient for everybody but I think overall people realize it's best for our community. So I also appreciate everyone's efforts, so, thanks, Coral.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Nate and Coral. Just a comment, Nate. We've had the --King Cove, the city put the bear dumpsters into a grant one time to Denali Commission many years ago and I'll tell you bears are pretty -- it's interesting how the smaller section lids on these big dumpsters, they won't really touch, but the big part in the front, they'll push that big part of that lid up and flip it over. It's -- they will get to where they want to. It's a battle. It's a battle.

(Laughter)

MR. SVOBODA: Pretty determined, yep.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

MR. SVOBODA: Thank you, yep.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. I think we'll go ahead and move on to Izembek National Wildlife Refuge.

MS. FOSADO: Good morning, Madame Chair, and members of the Council. Thank you for your time today and I look forward to providing an Izembek update. For those of you who don't know me, I am Maria Fosado, the Refuge Manager. I arrived in Cold Bay last June so I'm coming up on a year here pretty quick. Prior to arriving in Cold Bay, I worked for the Fish and Wildlife Service in Minnesota.

So before I get started I'd also like to refer members of the Council to the supplemental materials, A, which were provided, and then I'll go ahead and get started.

Izembek had some pretty exciting news regarding Staffing updates. We have Patrick Mcgrath, Jr. has joined our team. He started in November and is the administrative assistant here. In addition to Patrick we are also getting a wildlife biologist, she actually arrives in Cold Bay today and will be starting next week. So that is pretty exciting news for Izembek. And with those two Staff additions we'll have five permanent full-time employees working here out of Cold Bay.

I will go ahead and move on to caribou, and this is Page 1 and it'll carry over into Page 2 of the supplemental materials, A.

The Federal subsistence caribou hunt in Unit 9D runs through March 31st and it runs concurrently with the ADF&G sporthunt. The seasons are still ongoing and therefore we currently do not have any harvest data available. Caribou Unit -- the caribou hunt for Unit 10 Unimak to-date, that is -- to-date, our office has not received any harv -- excuse me. We received one report but it was a report of no harvest and that's all we have received to-date at our office.

As far as the winter SAP caribou survey. Unfortunately due to Covid constraints and Staffing constraints we were unable to conduct the winter survey this year so stay tuned and we hope to continue that in the coming year.

Moving on to waterfowl. Migratory Birds was able to conduct the age ratio -- the brant age ratio survey. Big thanks to them. They actually

6

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

contracted that out this fall due to Covid. ratio survey assesses the number of juvenile brant and provides the only assessment of annual productivity in the population. It's an important monitoring tool for assessing population trends as identified in the Pacific Flyaway Management Plan for brant. Observations were made on 40 flocks spread across Lagoon during a 13 Izembek day period, which encompassed October 11th through November 1st. model 2020 estimate of juveniles was 13.6 percent. And I also attached the table for folks who wanted to reference past years. But this was -- this report was courtesy of Migratory Birds. This table shows the raw data and the models annual estimate, the average family size was 2.62 juveniles based on an assessment of 59 families, and that's on Page 2 of your materials. And it goes on to Page 3, the table does.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

Migratory Birds was also able to conduct the winter brant survey. That survey surveys as the Alaska component of the annual Pacific Flyaway winter brant surveyed as outlined also in the Pacific Flyaway Management Plan. The 2021 Alaska winter index 56,563 brants and represents the sum of two The first being the average of three components. replicate indices of Izembek Complex conducted on the 2nd, 3rd and 5th of February. And the second is a single brant count of Sanak Islands and that was conducted on February 3rd. The 2021 index represents the highest in the history of the survey, so that's pretty exciting news. That's the highest number of brant that we've surveyed and we're pretty excited about that. And I've also attached the table courtesy of Migratory Birds. That goes on to Page 4 of the supplemental materials.

35 36 37

38

39

40 41

42

So Alaska currently comprises the second largest geographic component of the winter brant survey with an approximation of about 30 percent. Mexico representing the largest about 55 percent. And the remaining birds about 15 percent occurring along the Coast of California, Oregon, Washington and British Columbia.

43 44 45

46

47

48

49

50

Moving on to Page 5 of the supplemental materials, I would like to apologize, in reviewing this report yesterday I noticed that our waterfowl harvest report is incorrect. I'm uncertain where the discrepancy materialized but I do want to note that

everything listed under the Izembek 2020 migratory waterfowl harvest, please disregard, it's incorrect. I've pulled the commercial guide reports and will review the information and I would be happy to correct that and send out an updated report on the waterfowl harvest. And if Donald Mike would be the appropriate POC I would be happy to do that, so my apologies.

However, the client use days reported remain the same, and those were provided yesterday.

 $\,$  For 2020, those client use days for all three commercial permitted guides were 347 total client use days.

Moving on to brown bear, consecutive brown bear hunts have been authorized on the Alaska Peninsula by the Alaska Board of Game as a result of the Covid 19 pandemic. The spring brown bear season for Unit 9D for both residents and non-residents will be open from May 10th through May 25th. The spring brown bear season for Unit 10, which is Unimak Island for both residents and non-residents will be open from May 10th through the 31st. After the spring season both units will revert back to the regular fall rotation and corresponding season dates.

And that brings us to the upcoming field season. Unfortunately if I had a crystal ball I'd be able to tell you exactly how that was going to pan out. We're currently working with some of our partners to review our Covid mitigation plans and we're working to follow the Fish and Wildlife Service Covid guidelines that have come out as far as field work and field operations.

So we've been in communication with both USGS as well Dr. Tuula Hollmen of Alaska Sealife Center on the two specific projects that I've provided a summary for on Pages 5 and 6, and those would be eelgrass sampling as well as the Steller's Eiders project. So those conversations are ongoing, we're hopeful that we will be able to carry out some of our field season work but we'll have to continue working through that process to see what approvals and what we can actually deem feasible and safe for folks to carry out this upcoming field season.

But those are the current conversations

we're having. We're still going to be reviewing our internal surveys such as the annual stream bear survey, et cetera, so we're hopeful but that's still in the planning stags.

4 5 6

So with that, that is the end of my report and I would be happy to try and answer folks questions if there are any.

8

7

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,
Maria. Are there any questions or comments for Maria.

12

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, Della, this is 14 Rebecca.

15

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca.

18 19

20

21

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. Maria, you said that the information for the waterfowl in the report was incorrect, can you give us a sense of the general nature of what was incorrect.

222324

25

MS. FOSADO: I'm sorry, can you hear

me:

MS. SKINNER: Yes, I can hear you.

26 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

44

45

46

MS. FOSADO: Rebecca, through the Chair. Thank you for the question. I can provide some clarity. I reviewed the hunter days and that grabbed my attention, the hunt days, excuse me, and so I started looking at the additional numbers and the harvest of brant and cackling geese, Canada geese in there is incorrect. The 1,579 brant, based on the client use days and the number of clients would be an overharvest, so I real quickly, and I didn't want to throw out any preliminary information but I real quickly pulled all the hunter reports, the harvest reports that we received and with real quick crunching I came to about 400 total brant that were harvested by the three commercial permitted guides and their clients. And so essentially I would like everything in that section to be disregarded. And like I said I'd be willing to send out an updated report, which is the waterfowl harvest, once I have a chance to verify my quick calculations.

47 48 49

MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you, yeah,

0190 that was very helpful. And I would certainly like to see the updated report if you are able to put that 2 together. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is Coral. 8 9 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Coral. 10 11 MS. CHERNOFF: Hi, thank you for the 12 report. I had a question. Yesterday you referred to 13 the clients as -- you had that number of 347 client use 14 days, and then on here, even though this information we 15 have is wrong, it's referred to as -- it's broken down 16 as 127 guided hunters totalling 216 hunt days. 17 the hunt days be the same as client -- the client use 18 days that you referred to yesterday? 19 20 MS. FOSADO: Thank you. Through the 21 Chair. The client use days are essentially a 22 calculation of the number of guided hunters multiplied 23 by the number of days each guided hunter hunted. 24 that clarifies. So in theory there could be one person 25 that's being guided, they're here, they're in Cold Bay 26 for a duration of six days, that one client, the total 27 number of client use days for that client would be the total number of days that they physically hunted, so if 28 29 that person was for six days and hunted all six days it 30 would be six client use days. 31 32 MS. CHERNOFF: So would that be like 33 where it has -- would that -- so that would be the same 34 as the 216 hunt days, the hunt days is the total client 35 -- because I was trying to -- well, because on here, 36 if.... 37 38 MS. FOSADO: Yes. Yes. 39 40 MS. CHERNOFF: .....if you have this 41 127 guided hunters totalling 216 hunt days that's less 42 than two hunts per guided hunter, which doesn't really 43 add up to me, because I thought usually if people take 44 a trip, they're usually there for five or six days. So 45 those numbers weren't.... 46 47 MS. FOSADO: Right.

MS. CHERNOFF: .....adding up so I was

48 49

0191 1 wondering what -- yesterday we had talked about client use days which I assumed was, guided hunters, times the 2 days, and so now I'm just confused about the different 4 ways these are presented. 5 6 MS. FOSADO: And that is correct. The 7 8 9 216 is incorrect in the report. 10 11 12 13 14 yesterday as far as....

totalling 216 hunt days would be the equivalent of the client use days except for the -- as I mentioned, that

MS. CHERNOFF: Okay.

MS. FOSADO: But what was reported out

15 16

MS. CHERNOFF: Okay.

17 18

19

20

21

MS. FOSADO: .....the 347 client use days is the correct figure. So the 216 hunt days is incorrect. It would be the equivalent of the client use days and the client use days that were reported out yesterday for 2020 for the three commercial guides.....

22 23 24

MS. CHERNOFF: Okay.

25 26

MS. FOSADO: ....is 347.

27 28 29

MS. CHERNOFF: Okay. And so do you have an average, what would be the average hunt days, or is there one, five, six days?

30 31 32

33

MS. FOSADO: It depends, and all I have available is last years, or this past waterfowl hunting season and....

34 35

MS. CHERNOFF: Okay.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

MS. FOSADO: .....it was an unusual one, in that, it was Covid and commercial flights to Cold Bay were actually only coming in on Wednesday and Saturdays and so folks that were coming out were limited to scheduling their flights to those two days. And being that I've been here less than a year I don't know that that's representative of what has happened historically when we were serviced by Ravn and there was flights, I think daily.

46 47 48

MS. CHERNOFF: Okay. And I was wondering if I could request that when we get the new

```
0192
   correct information, if we could have that -- the
    number of guided hunters, instead of just the total
    client use days, can we get the number of guided
    hunters and also total use days?
 5
 6
                   MS. FOSADO: Yes.
 7
 8
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Okay, thank you.
 9
10
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Are you good,
11
    Coral?
12
13
                   MS. CHERNOFF: I am good, thank you,
14
    Della.
15
16
                    (Teleconference
                                        interference
17
    participants not muted)
18
19
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                   TRUMBLE: Any other
20
   questions.
21
22
                    (No comments)
23
24
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none,
25
    Maria....
26
27
                   MR. KOSO: Hey, Della, this is Rick, I
28
    got a couple questions there.
29
30
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, go ahead,
31
    Rick.
32
                               Yeah. Hi. I just got some
33
                    MR. KOSO:
34
    questions on the caribou. This 2020, I don't know if
    you did a survey, I don't think so, but it looked like
    you had around 3,000 animals, I think it was in '19
36
37
    caribou 9D, and what is it, 400-some to 500 animals on
38
    Unimak Island, which
                            is 10, are those still
39
    maintaining, is it -- it looks from the years past
    there it looked like the Unimak Caribou Herd is
40
41
    improved by, it look like close to 50 percent and 9D
42
    looks like they came up, oh, I would say 60, 70 percent
43
    from what it used to be. Now, is that still holding
44
    true?
45
                                Thank you.
46
                   MS. FOSADO:
                                             Through the
47
    Chair.
               Thanks for the question,
                                             Rick.
48
    understanding of the caribou population in Unit 9D is
49
    that it is increasing, I don't have the exact number
50
```

```
0193
    right off hand and perhaps someone from ADF&G is on the
    line that could answer that. Unit 10 is Unimak, is
           showing an increase, but I don't have the
    percentages right off hand, and, again, I'll take a
    pause to see if someone from ADF&G can answer the
 5
    population questions regarding caribou on Units 9D and
 6
 7
    10, which are.....
 8
 9
                    MR. BURCH: Madame Chair, this is Mark
10
    Burch from....
11
12
                    MS.
                          FOSADO:
                                       .....all appearing
13
     (indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
14
15
                    MR. BURCH: .....the Department of Fish
16
     and Game.
17
18
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Mark.
19
20
                          BURCH: Yes, Dave Crowley
                    MR.
21
     available on the phone and I believe he's on the agenda
22
     here real soon so it's up to you whether you would want
23
     him to respond to questions at this point or give his
24
     report here when it comes up pretty quickly.
25
26
                    Thank you.
27
28
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rick, I think we
29
     can -- if it's okay.....
30
31
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, Madame Chair, I'll
32
     just wait for his report.
                                I have one other question
     though before we move on. You know, you got 9C and E,
33
     I think is it -- yeah, C and E there on the wolves, you
34
35
    got like 300 to 350, to 550 wolves and I don't see any
36
     estimates or a survey done on 9D, do you have some
37
    numbers for 9D for the wolves.
38
39
                    MS. FOSADO:
                                   Through the Chair,
40
     sorry, on wolves, was that the question?
41
42
                    MR. KOSO: Yes, ma'am.
43
44
                    MS. FOSADO:
                                  Population estimates on
45
    wolves?
46
                    MR. KOSO: Yes, for 9D.
47
48
49
                    MS. FOSADO: Again -- for 9D, I do not
50
```

but I'll defer that question again to ADF&G, if someone would be able to answer that.

MR. KOSO: Okay. I'll just wait for the report, thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MADAME}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Is there any other questions or comments for Maria in regards to Izembek.

## (No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Maria, when you are -- this is Della. When you are revising the migratory waterfowl harvest report and then we talked a little bit yesterday about the three permits, and then the subpermits under those permits, can you kind of lay those numbers out so we have a better idea of how many people are hunting under those -- how many guides are hunting under those three permits, I think it would be helpful to understand.

MS. FOSADO: Madame Chair, I would absolutely be able to do that.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. And hopefully, I know when I go through on the 17th, if you're around, if I get in early enough, the flight doesn't leave until later in the afternoon or early evening so hopefully I'll get a chance to chat with you then.

MS. FOSADO: Madame Chair, sounds perfect. I thank you for your time.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: So thank you very much for your report.

MS. FOSADO: And I apologize for the confusion today.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That's okay. We'll move on to Unit 10, Unimak Caribou. And the one thing -- I just emailed Donald Mike on, because we didn't have King Salmon on the agenda or Lisa Fox on the agenda, so I think we'll be trying to figure those pieces out too as we go through. So if we can go ahead and move on to the Unit 10 Unimak Caribou and I guess it's Dave.

0195 1 2	Donald.	MR. MIKE: Madame Chair, this is
3 4 5 6 7 8	Donald.	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,
	could take a fi	MR. MIKE: Yeah, I was wondering if we ve or 10 minute break.
12	we can do that break.	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, yeah, sure, . Let's go ahead and take a 10 minute
13 14		(Off record)
15 16		(On record)
17 18 19 20	Donald, I'm sta	MR. MIKE: Madame Chair, this is nding by, thank you.
21 22 23 24	you want to d they're here.	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Donald, do o a check on everyone and see if
25 26		MR. MIKE: Okay, I can do that.
27		Pat Holmes.
28 29		(No comments)
30 31		MR. MIKE: Rick Koso.
32 33		(No comments)
34 35		MR. MIKE: Sam Rohrer.
36 37		MR. ROHRER: I'm here.
38 39		MR. MIKE: Chris Price.
40		
41 42		MR. PRICE: Here.
43 44		MR. MIKE: Coral Chernoff.
45 46		MS. CHERNOFF: Here.
47 48		MR. MIKE: Rebecca Skinner.
48 49 50		MS. SKINNER: Here.

```
0196
 1
                    MR. MIKE: Della's here.
 2
 3
                    Natasha Hayden.
 4
 5
                    (No comments)
 6
 7
                    MR. MIKE: Melissa Berns.
 8
 9
                    MS. BERNS: I'm here.
10
11
                    MR. MIKE: Okay. We'll go back up to
12
     Pat Holmes, you with us?
13
14
                    (No comments)
15
16
                    MR. MIKE: Rick Koso.
17
18
                    (No comments)
19
20
                    MR. MIKE: Natasha, are you with us?
21
22
                    (No comments)
23
24
                    MR. MIKE: Madame Chair, we have three
25
    members not online yet.
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, we might
28
    give it a couple more minutes.
29
30
                     (Pause)
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Donald, I
     think we'll go ahead and get started. The next item on
33
34
     the agenda is ADF&G King Salmon, Dave Crowley.
35
36
                    MR. CROWLEY: Yes, good morning, Madame
37
     Chair, and Council members. This is Dave Crowley,
38
     Department of Fish and Game in King Salmon, I'm the
39
     Area Wildlife Biologist.
40
                        I heard a
41
                    So
                                      request
                                                 for some
    information on wolves for Unit 9D, I'll go ahead and
42
43
    start with that.
44
45
                    The short answer is we really don't
46
    know how many wolves are there. We don't have a very
47
    good estimate of density. The harvest has been off a
48
    little bit the last few years. But my perception in
49
     flying around doing caribou surveys and such is that
50
```

the wolf numbers seem to be down on Unit 9D, and the calf/cow ratio on the SAP, the Southern Alaska Peninsula Caribou Herd has been, you know, hanging in there at a pretty good level. There's not been a lot of predation. For the SAP, this last October, we did a composition survey, we had 32 calves per 100 cows, which is pretty good. The bull ratio on the SAP was 45 bulls per 100 cows. And our sample size was the highest on record, actually, we actually counted almost 1,500 caribou. Our population estimate is currently about 3,100, and that's up from our count in 2013, it was about 1,300, so we're up substantially. The herd is continuing to increase. Our harvest on the SAP has kind of petered out between 50 and 60 reported. However, this is now a harvest ticket hunt and we just don't have that reporting rate that we registration hunts or Tier II hunts so -- but hopefully we'll see a continued increase in that harvest as quides and other hunters find their way back in. was closed for, you know, six, 8 years, and it seems like the guides are just kind of working their way back into it. So hopefully we'll see some more harvest. We can certainly sustain additional harvest on that herd.

And I'm on the agenda to give an update for the caribou herd on Unimak Island, and I'm prepared to do that here.

So based on our composition count in October, we have a productive herd. It's small but it is increasing. The population is approximately 460 on the island.

MR. HOLMES: Oh, good.

MR. CROWLEY: In October we counted an amazing 78 bulls per 100 cows and it was quite noticeable that these bulls had been on the ground for a couple of years. There were a lot of large bulls. And there's definitely room for additional harvest there. 34 calves per 100 cows, which is pretty good for Unimak Island. For some reason the pregnancy rate, the parturition rate is not as high on the island as it is on the mainland. So that's something that we keep an eye on. But 34 calves per 100, that's a good calf/cow ratio, good productive herd, good survival to the fall anyway.

So we do have a surplus of bulls in the

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

population available for harvest and we're going to kind of shift gears here with our thoughts about management on Unimak Island. At this point I think that the Department and the Fish and Wildlife Service need to review and revise management of the Unimak Island Caribou Herd. Imagine a few years down the road our little herd has, you know, doubled in size, and we'll really need to get after it with harvest or we're going to have another Adak Island situation. And, you know, in past decades human harvest was insufficient to control the herd size on the island. We saw these eruptions and the subsequent crashes and the long time to rebuild and we're going to try to avoid that scenario again this year but it's going to have to happen primarily with human harvest.

15 16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

Currently under Federal subsistence regulations only residents of False Pass are allowed to hunt on Federal lands on Unimak Island, and, I believe, that's close to 90 percent of the island is Federal lands. Unless the human population of False Pass triples or quadruples, I'm fairly certain that the caribou herd could not be harvested at a high enough level by False Pass residents alone. I think even if we added Cold Bay, King Cove, Sand Point and Nelson Lagoon residents to the hunt on Unimak Island, I still believe that we couldn't reach a high enough harvest level because, you know, the folks on the mainland are going to be hunting on the SAP, on a harvest ticket, with a three caribou bag limit currently. So if the herd does continue to grow and that's -- I'm hoping that's going to continue, this is going to be a problem getting enough hunters on the landscape to take the surplus bulls off it. And I ran some numbers, I did a little modeling and it looks like we could start next year with a harvest of 25 bulls and we could maintain that every year into the future provided that our productivity stays up there, and that's of course, you know, it's questionable on Unimak Island, how long that's going to be sustained. But if we do increase our harvest, we'd have to be looking pretty carefully at in-season management because of these years when parturition rate is low, and that means that not only do we need a higher harvest level, but we need hunters to report. We have to have reporting, timely reporting for in-season management.

46 47 48

49

50

 $\hbox{Another potential problem I foresee and this is not a criticism,} \ \ \hbox{I just want to make that }$ 

clear, but the Federal permitting system is fairly limited in scale and that's simply because the hunts are small. You know we have a small number of hunters taking a small number of animals. We'll ultimately, possibly, need to issue 100 permits, not a dozen, and getting reports back from hunters will be critical, as I mentioned earlier. These are things that the Department is really good at doing through our online registration hunts and getting those reports back in a timely manner.

So I guess I'm recommending that we all take a hard look at opening Federal lands on Unimak Island to all hunters under a State registration permit. We could provide advantages to local hunters through extended seasons and bag limits in Federal subsistence regulations. And we already do this for caribou and moose hunts in Unit 9. I think if we remain status quo we'd probably have another eruption of the population, potential habitat damage subsequent crash like we've seen in the past. And that crash occurs -- and I thought Steve explained it very earlier, either density dependent effects, including starvation, or a mass exodus to the mainland, and there's quite a bit of evidence that this happened back in the mid-70s where the Unimak Island population peaked and started declining and all of a sudden they disappeared and people had reported actually seeing caribou swimming, you know, across the strait back onto the mainland.

The bottom line is, you know, this is good news. This is a good problem to have to deal with. The herd's looking good, it's growing and we're still early enough in the game that, you know, we can start thinking about this and planning on getting more hunters on that landscape out there.

And that's all I've got, Madame Chair, thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Dave. I'm glad you brought that up. It's something that I was going to bring up on this meeting as we go. Are there any comments or questions for Dave at this point.

Rick.

MR. KOSO: No, Della, I think that

sounds good. I mean it sounds good the caribou's coming back, I mean we're more than doubled it looks like from a few years ago when we were looking at 180, 200 caribou on the island, so that's all good news to me. And, you know, if they could have a real controlled hunt by opening up a little more and watch it closely, boy, I sure don't have a problem with that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. Madame

14 Chair, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. Go

17 ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I agree with Rick. And I'd sure like to see the locals, I think his suggestion there if they went to a broader hunt of having a registration -- special registration hunt for the locals there at False Pass, I think that would be swell because they -- we've made adjustments up here in Kodiak on mountain goats to provide for a little more local harvest, or harvest by locals, and so I think that'd be a really good idea for those folks to be able to have just a little bit of an edge. And, yeah, having lived through the critters moving across from the island to the mainland, that's certainly happened and you don't want to end up with a huge population that used to exist out there.

Anyway, thanks very much, folks, a good report, and I'm glad things have improved.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any more comments or questions.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: This is Della. The one thing, I've been looking at this, and we need to maybe, Council, take a look at this because we are in the wildlife -- going into the wildlife cycle here, and then given what Dave has presented, maybe we need to talk about what we can possibly do to change the regulations that are in place now. So maybe, George, maybe we could talk about this before we end our

meeting, or do we do this now?

MR. PAPPAS: Well, thank you, Madame Chair. I'm just waiting for when the Council wants to get into proposal synthesis discussions. This has been a very fluid meeting, a lot of information being presented in various order, and I would say we stick with that. If you want to delve into this discussion since you have the experts on hand and I see a probably a good dozen State of Alaska employees here, this is a pretty incredible turn out. So it might be a few more yearsbefore that actually could takeplace with after -after maybe something coming up to 25 year, whatever, it's up to you, if you want to look into -if you look at your regulations for the Federal subsistence, the Handy-Dandy, Page 55, you will see that the, you know, Unit 10, Unimak Island residents, Akutan, False Pass, King Cove, Sand Point, Unimak Island only, Unit 10, no Federal open season. If you want to propose -- if the Regional Advisory Council wants to propose a season and then we can work towards figuring out the limits and maybe total harvest limits through a registration hunt, that's a possibility. see the State's considering possibly the same thing.

 I would say if you want to put a proposal, this might be a good time, because it's fresh in your memory, everybody's asked the same questions — or excuse me — the same information being presented — and this might be a good time, Della.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. And I apologize because we've kind of jumped around this agenda a little bit. But it kind of made sense to try to do the Kodiak stuff and then the Aleutian, the Peninsula, then it wouldn't be so jumbled, I think, I want to say.

So given that, Dave, what would your recommendation be as we go forward. You made a couple suggestions.

MR. CROWLEY: Yeah, thank you, Madame Chair. This is Dave Crowley. First of all, I guess I would be interested in doing a State registration hunt but in Federal regulations allowing qualified users to, you know, have extended seasons or bag limits. You

1 know we do these registration hunts routinely. permits are available online, the reporting we've tried to simplify as much as possible. They can call in, they can report online, they can mail the reports in; a 5 bunch of different options there. So I guess I'm in favor of going after a State registration hunt. 6 7 order to do that, of course, we have to go to the Alaska Board of Game with a proposal to get the Board's 9 approval to do that. And as far as the numbers, I'm 10 pretty comfortable -- with that high bull/cow ratio I'm 11 pretty comfortable of starting with a number of 25. 25 12 bulls.

13 14

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any comments from the members of the Council.

15 16 17

MR. ROHRER: Della, Sam Rohrer here.

18 19

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

MR. ROHRER: Yeah, so a question for Crowley on the idea of opening up a State registration hunt. So Unit 9 -- this was the year that Unit 9 proposals are in front of the Board of Game, I think 10 -- Unit 10 as well, but it would only make sense to be Units 9 and 10 but I don't know that 100 percent for sure. Of course the Board of Game meetings have been cancelled for this -- largely cancelled for this year and postponed until next year. But at the upcoming Board of Game they are going to consider the question whether they should open the call back up for proposals. So I guess would you try to do it as an agenda change request or, you know, try to ask the Board of Game to open back up a call for proposals; what are you thinking for making a proposal there on the State side.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

MR. CROWLEY: Yeah, thank you. Through the Chair. We -- we're kind of having that discussion and I think maybe I'll have Todd or Mark hop in here. But we do have one or two proposals regarding Unimak Island and it might be possible to hijack one of those and try to add a hunt to it. But I'm going to have -- I'll have Todd Rinaldi or Mark Burch jump in.

44 45 46

Thank you.

47 48

MR. RINALDI: Good morning, this is Todd Rinaldi, can you hear me?

0203 1 MR. ROHRER: Yeah, we can hear you. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, go ahead, 4 Todd. 5 6 MR. RINALDI: I didn't know if I had to 7 star six myself. Yes, for the record my name is Todd Rinaldi, I'm with the Division of Wildlife Conservation 8 9 based out of Palmer and we oversee the wildlife 10 management in Region 4. 11 12 Yes, so the Board of Game as you 13 mentioned, through the Chair, the Board of Game is 14 meeting on March 18th, coming up here, and they are 15 going to be dealing with some administrative issues and 16 also addressing some Board of Game proposals that are 17 essential due to regulatory renewals such as antlerless 18 At that meeting they're also going to proposals. 19 decide whether or not they open up the call for 20 proposals for the previously postponed 2021 meeting, 21 Southcentral -- or Central Southwest meeting, which is 22 now in January of 2022. If that's the case there would 23 be a public opening of proposals and it would provide not only agency but also Board of Game and members of 24 25 the public, including RACs and ACs and such to generate 26 new proposals, and that could be done at that time. So we probably wouldn't have to use an existing proposal 27 28 to create a hunt, and that would probably be the most 29 direct and the clearest way of going about doing that 30 on the State regulatory side. 31 32 Thank you. 33 34 MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, 37 Rebecca. 38 39 MS. SKINNER: Thanks. I just had a 40 timing and process question. So for the Federal subsistence wildlife proposals, is the deadline for 41 42 that, is it May 2021, and so is it correct that if our 43 Council wants to put forward a wildlife proposal on 44 this we need to do that at this meeting, and that's my 45 question. 46 47 Thanks.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:

Yes.

That is

48 49

0204 1 correct. 2 3 MR. PAPPAS: Through the Chair, George 4 Pappas. That is correct. I'm sorry if I talked over 5 you. That is correct, Council Member Skinner. 6 7 MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you. 8 9 MR. PAPPAS: Or the other option --10 through the Chair -- sorry, through the Chair. 11 the -- if this Council chooses to build a record and 12 provide intent that we can capture and ask OSM to 13 assist in capturing all that information into a 14 proposal and sending it back to the Chair for approval 15 for submission we can do that. 16 17 So there's two options. 18 19 You can either synthesize right now 20 verbatim, or give us your gist and we can work that 21 direction. 22 23 Thank you, Madame Chair. 24 25 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. So 26 basically at this point what are the wishes of the 27 Council. 28 29 MR. PRICE: Della, hi, this is Chris. 30 Can you ask George to clarify why he left Unalaska out 31 of his list of communities that would be considered for 32 the subsistence hunt. 33 34 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: George, you want 35 to answer that. 36 37 MR. PAPPAS: Sure. Thank you, Madame Chair. Mr. Price. Yeah, I'm looking on Page 55 of the 38 39 Federal subsistence hunting regulations and it looks customary and traditional use 40 like for the determinations for Unit 10, Unimak Island are residents 41 42 of Akutan, False Pass, King Cove, and Sand Point. For the Unit 10, Unimak Island. For the Unit 10 remainder, 43 44 it's all rural residents. 45 46 Thank you. 47 48 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And I think 49 maybe to help a little bit, Chris, with this. Over the

0205 years, even on Unimak, which included 9D and 10, which included Akutan, Akutan at some point said, you know, historically maybe many, many years ago they hunted but they don't anymore. The cost to go to Unimak or 9D to hunt is quite a bit. So over time as we actually 5 started, they, for purposes of subsistence hunts we've 6 7 left Akutan out. I've never heard on all the years I've been involved in this Unalaska being added into 9 the -- as a group of communities. 10 11 So does that answer your question, 12 Chris, or do you have more comments? 13 14 MR. PRICE: No, that's fine, thank you. 15 16 MR. KOSO: Madame Chair. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick. 19 20 MR. KOSO: Yeah, I guess listening to 21 this here, you know, do we need to make a motion to go 22 ahead and put a proposal in and if that's the case I 23 will go ahead and do that and I would like to put it 24 in on Dan Crowley's recommendation, if that's the case. 25 26 MS. SKINNER: I'll second if that's a 27 motion. 28 29 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. We have a 30 motion made by Rick Koso, a second by..... 31 32 MS. SKINNER: Rebecca. 33 34 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: ....Rebecca. 35 Discussion. 36 37 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 38 39 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 40 MR. HOLMES: 41 Yeah, I think that this 42 would be a good way to go, particularly since things have been closed so long but I think the -- what was 43 44

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I think that this would be a good way to go, particularly since things have been closed so long but I think the -- what was presented in terms of the increasing population size, that combined to locals needs for food, and, particularly when you think back to what people used to be able to get. I think that it would be good to expedite this and to try to get the proposal in the heap. The State might be more flexible in the long-

45

46

47

48

49

run, but it looks like with the delays at the Board of Game, that doing it on the Federal side might be the most expeditious.

4 5

2

Thank you, Madame Chair.

6 7

8

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. George, what -- just for purposes of discussion, do you have any comments in regard to the motion at this point?

10 11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. PAPPAS: So the motion, as understand, is to develop a registration hunt for maximum of 25 bulls. Well, Mr. Crowley's indicating that's what they're looking for on the State side, so are you looking for a registration hunt for Unimak Island that do have a Federal subsistence registration hunt for Unimak Island for those residents, is that what you're looking at, and then the State would put a proposal in to the Board of Game to do something with the registration hunt just like Mr. Crowley was saying? So are you looking at a percentage of those 25 animals, are you looking for all those animals; what are you thinking here, potentially just getting the framework up, that the in-season manager can determine, through consultation with the Department of Fish and Game annual quotas and some type of real in-season management; is that what you're looking for, Madame Chair?

293031

32

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Sounds good to me. Dave, maybe you want to answer the question in regard to the 25 animals?

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

44

45

46

47

48

MR. CROWLEY: Sure, thank you, Madame Chair. Yeah, I hadn't considered how we might divvy that up. But I think in-season management, I think you could issue, you know, unlimited number of registration permits to the residents and -- for hunting on the Federal side, and then we come on with the State registration hunt and just, you know, rely on reporting and in-season management. You know, the problem with Unimak Island is that it is so remote and it is going to be really hard to get hunters out there, you know, kind of the same situation with the SAP. And, you know, the SAP is much more accessible than the island. We still can't get enough hunters out there. don't -- I'm not very concerned about over harvest, especially if we're just talking bulls and we can make

0207 up for it, you know, the next season by reducing the harvest quota. You know there's a number of ways to go about it. But I'm thinking, you know, more -- more about in-season management. 5 6 Thank you. 7 8 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. 9 And maybe.... 10 11 MS. SKINNER: Della. 12 13 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. 14 15 MS. SKINNER: This is Rebecca. 16 17 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. 18 19 MS. SKINNER: Yeah, this is Rebecca. I 20 had a question, I guess given that the clarification that was just given, and I don't know if this question 21 22 is directed to Rick as far as what he meant by his 23 motion, but the -- so the current harvest limit is one 24 bull by Federal registration permit, and this is for 25 Unimak Island only. What are we proposing to change? 26 So I mean it looks like you get a regis -- a user would 27 get a registration permit and then they can go and get 28 one bull. Are we looking at increasing how many bulls 29 a permit holder can get or are we looking at broadening 30 it from just the residents of Akutan, False Pass, King 31 Cove and Sand Point. So what -- so I seconded the 32 motion, and I will admit I seconded without having the 33 details, because I do support this RAC, at the very 34 least, building a record to change the regulation. At 35 this point, I guess I'm not clear what the most 36 effective, specific change to the current regulation 37 would be, and so that's my question. And, again, I'm 38 not sure if this is best answered by Rick Koso, since 39 he made the motion, or maybe George, if you want to jump in and give some guidance. But I'm really looking 40 for clarification on what it is we're changing in the 41 42 current regs. 43 44 Thanks. 45 46 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,

Rebecca. George, maybe you can explain to her what the

current regulation is and how this would change it?

48 49 50

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you, Madame Chair. And I know there's a long list of State experts online that can assist me with this. I just want to make a note that I don't think I've seen this many Fish and Game employees at a RAC meeting in years. There's such a diverse subject matter you're talking, I'm looking and I'm in contact with them, they're a lot of folks online, please, appreciate them, or make sure express of gratitude, appreciate them helping out here.

So what I'm looking at in my reference right now is specifically the Handy-Dandy regulation supplement from the Federal Subsistence Program, and it does say no open Federal season on Unimak 10 island only. There is a type of a hunt there that has a small in -- you know, quota. Now, there's specific instructions for designated hunters in the area, so whether or not a hunter can harvest one or two animals, if local folks with a designated hunting permit, I'm not certain that would make -- that would increase harvest rates if you went for two animals, when folks can likely harvest for their neighbor anyway with a designated hunting permit.

## This is a tough one.

Maybe the idea of proposing a registration hunt. The quota to be determined in consultation later on. Pick your dates. The dates I would recommend, basing on what our experts would recommend for a hunting season. And we can flesh it out with the -- through the analysis process this upcoming season to come up with an analysis for you, provide the information, and then at the fall meeting you can voice whether or not you want to modify that, if you like what you see, and, you know, the analysis process would definitely be based on and working with the Department of Fish and Game's data and experts.

So this is one possibility if you want to make a motion, and clarify it, you're looking for a registration hunt as -- in parallel to what Mr. Crowley's indicating, with the harvest quotas to be -- season quotas to be determined by the individual with the delegated in-season authority. You know, permit issuance, we'll have to work that out. We do issue mass permits in some areas. In some areas we issue very few. But we would have to work that out.

0209 1 Am I being helpful, Madame Chair. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca, did 4 that answer your question. Currently it's just open for, I think, I want to say up to four, or maybe five 5 hunters, that they would request a permit and if -- and 6 7 through the Izembek Refuge and those are just currently 8 for residents of False Pass. 9 10 And another comment, too, and Tom has 11 brought this to my attention on occasion, that even for 12 the residents of False Pass to hunt these caribou, 13 they're still hard to get at because of where they're 14 located. 15 16 So with that, I guess, let me go back 17 to my initial question, is, did that answer your 18 question? 19 20 MS. SKINNER: Kind of. As I'm looking 21 Page 55 of the 2020-2022 Federal wildlife 22 subsistence regulations, it looks like it's 23 registration hunt for one bull caribou on Unimak Island 24 is already allowed. So I guess, again, I'm not exactly 25 sure what it is we're changing, however, given the data 26 we got on the caribou population, I am in support of, 27 you know, if we do need to, I guess, liberalize the hunt, I'm -- I'm in support of that. 28 So what George 29 Pappas outlined, as far as process, that, you know, we 30 specify we're looking at a registration hunt. I think 31 the in-season management is a very important point to 32 me because that will allow decisions to be made based 33 on the quality of the herd there. And this can all be 34 analyzed and come back to us for our fall meeting, so 35 I'm -- I'm good with -- with that process. 36 37 Thank you. 38 39 MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair. 40 Go ahead. 41 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm 42 not sure who..... 43 44 MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair, this 45 Robbin LaVine. And I just..... 46

MADAME CHAIR

TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

48 49 50 Robbin.

1 MS. LAVINE: Hi. I just wanted to clarify that what it sounds to me like you're proposing 2 and what would change is that currently under Federal regulations there is a registration permit hunt on Unimak Island, Unit 10, Unimak Island only, for 5 residents of False Pass only. You'll notice, however, 6 7 that in Unimak 10 -- or in Unit 10, Unimak Island, residents of Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and Sand Point have customary and traditional use determinations 9 10 for caribou. And initially you'll recall this was a 11 proposal that came before you first as a special action 12 and then later as a proposal to change regulation to 13 allow for some harvest by those local residents, 14 those residents with customary and traditional use 15 determination but have the -- was the closest -- we went through a prioritization among Federally-qualified 16 17 subsistence users and we provided limited opportunity 18 And it sounds like there is an to False Pass. 19 opportunity now to expand the pool of qualified users 20 back again to all those who have C&T for caribou on 21 Unimak Island.

22 23

24

25

Am I assessing that right, because if that's true then it is a big change. It's a big change. And it provides a little more opportunity for those folks with C&T under a Federal hunt.

26272829

Thanks.

30 MS. SKINNER: Yeah, and, Della, this is 31 Rebecca. Thank you for that clarification. I think 32 that was a good lesson in how to read the regulations. 33 So now I understand. I thought the part with False Pass 34 went with the second bullet point but now I see it goes 35 with the first bullet point. So, yes, if the hunt right now is limited to False Pass, what I am understanding and what my intent in seconding the 36 37 38 motion was is that we're opening it up to residents of 39 Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and Sand Point, and so 40 that's my understanding of where we're trying to go.

41 42 43

Thank you for clarifying what the current regulation actually is. And if that is what Rick meant by his motion then I just reaffirm that that is what I seconded.

45 46 47

44

Thank you.

48 49

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

2

5

6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Wait one second. The other thing, I think, that needs to be clarified, Rebecca, is the dates. Currently the Unimak 10 has August 1st to September 30th. Unit 9D, and this was prior to the changes and it goes August 1st to September 30th and then November 15th through March 31st. So I think Dave also brought that up as those dates would be extended also.

8

10 And with that, Rick, was that you 11 making a comment.

12 13

MR. KOSO: Yes, Madame Chair. Are you talking to me?

14 15

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

16 17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

MR. KOSO: Yeah, I agree with Rebecca on that there. I was probably a little bit fast on the But the way I understood this thing motion there. would be, you know, under a State regulated hunt, it'll be in-season managed -- managed in-season, and it'll be for other than just the False Pass residents, it will go to the rest of the village communities surrounding that. And it would be, you know, regulated by the 25 bulls, you know, and I think the Feds and the State -and I'm a little confused, you know, the Federals pretty much got control on the Federal lands, but if I'm not mistaken, if it's the State actually are the ones that work the conservation on them so I don't know where they would stand if they were together or two separate deals on this, but my motion would stand the same, with a State regulated hunt, 25 bulls, and would be opened up to the other residents to catch -- and I would leave the amount to the biologist to bring to the floor of what they would think would be realistic and conservative.

37 38 39

MR. RINALDI: Madame Chair.

40 41

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

42 43 44

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  RINALDI: This is Todd Rinaldi with Division of Wildlife Conservation again. I would like to just throw out a couple of different things.

45 46 47

I would offer that Department of Fish and Game is more than willing to work with Fish and Wildlife Staff in teasing through some of the

49 50

subtleties of different regulatory systems and crafting something -- or crafting some recommendations that we could provide to the RAC in the future. I haven't talked with Fish and Wildlife Staff about that but that's certainly one approach.

The other issue is, as the previous member just pointed out, is, the current regulations prohibit anyone, non-Federally-qualified from accessing the Federal lands on Unimak. And so those regulations would have to be rescinded for any kind of State registration hunt to take place on Unimak because of very limited State lands where no caribou seem to (indiscernible - muffled).

Thank you.

MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair, this is 19 Robbin LaVine.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Robbin.

 MS. LAVINE: I think we might take a look at Federal regulations for the harvest of Nushagak Peninsula Caribou Herd as maybe some direction. I mean I think it's an opportunity for us to look at how a bounded population with limited range and -- and a need for some population control has been managed, first, to the benefit of Federally-qualified subsistence users, and then when there isn't -- there aren't enough local hunters, there isn't easy access, that after the population reaches, or goes beyond a particular range, that the hunt then opens to all, and that could be including State residents.

I have some experience on that, and I can't speak more but if you look at Page 81 of your harvest of wildlife reg books you may see, second down on the right, Units 17A and 17C, that portion of 17A and C, consisting of the Nushagak Peninsula, you'll see that Federal lands are closed to the harvest of caribou except by Federally-qualified subsistence users unless the population estimate exceeds 900 caribou. I know that something like that, a strategy like that would need to be kicked around by OSM Staff and, certainly, we would want to consult with our colleagues on the State side.

0213 1 Thank you, Madame Chair. 2 Thank you. Any 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 4 other comments. 5 6 MR. RINALDI: Madame Chair. 7 8 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. 9 MR. RINALDI: 10 Yeah, this is Todd 11 Rinaldi again. Yes, and Dave Crowley alluded to the need to revisit the current kind of management program 12 13 that we have on Unimak. It's -- it's a document that 14 was developed with a number of -- with Federal and 15 State input and, although I don't think it was formally 16 ratified, it's still kind of been the guiding document 17 that the State, and I believe the Feds have been using. 18 So, you know, we have a State management plan process 19 which could also play into this. We do have certain 20 objectives. But I think that would be the strength of 21 sitting down with our Federal counterparts and talking 22 about these objectives. You know we've been working 23 with Togiak Fish and Wildlife Refuge for a number of years administering the hunt on the Nushagak and it 24 25 seems to have been pretty effective. 26 27 So, yeah, I would encourage giving our 28 two agencies the opportunity to sit down and discuss 29 this and possibly suggest putting a placeholder on this 30 topic for now. 31 32 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think that's a 33 good idea. Rick, so..... 34 35 MR. KOSO: Madame Chair. 36 37 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick. 38 39 MR. KOSO: Madame Chair, this is Rick. 40 41 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. 42 43 MR. KOSO: Yeah, you know, there's so 44 much questions to be answered here yet and I think I 45 could go ahead and withdraw my proposal, or motion, and 46 then until maybe the Feds and the State can get 47 together and have something to our request at the fall 48 meeting to where we could have something concrete to 49 look at and be a little more comfortable on making

these kind of decisions.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca, you had seconded that motion, is that good?

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, I -- I'm okay with that as long as the Council is all on the same page that our intent is, as George had offered as an option earlier, our intent is for OSM to take what we've talked about today and try to formulate that into a proposed regulatory change that then we could look at at our fall meeting. If that's -- if the Council's okay with that I'm good with withdrawing the motion.

The only other question I have is that given the proposal deadline for the -- the wildlife proposal deadline is May of 2021, is -- is that going to be a problem or is there enough here to work with that we can still get this proposal into the pipeline for this cycle of wildlife proposals.

## Thank you.

MR. PAPPAS: Madame Chair, George Pappas. To answer, Rebecca, no, we need a proposal in hand submitted to the Board before the deadline, and any modifications could be made at the next RAC meetings, if the RAC sees new information. I know there's a lot of communications not going on with the State and we have a lot of new information being presented, it looks like the population's coming back, Dave Crowley, that's probably the best information I've heard in years regarding the herd, you know, here's one recommendation; just putting in a blank placeholder doesn't work, it has to have definition.

So my recommendation is I hear here the State has indicated that there's room for some more harvest, that's their regulatory process, which is good news, because we understand there's some room for more harvest for the Federal subsistence users because the population can sustain it. So one option is to take a baby step on this, and that would be propose that the current hunt that we do have on Federal regulations, Federal books, expand that to the other communities. And then have the harvest -- the total harvest quota to be determined in consultation with the State and Refuge mangers, what have you, so that gives us a framework of a hunt that's already existing, it's

working, we can expand it a little bit by offering other opportunity for other communities, if they are interested, I know it's very expensive to get there, I've been there. And working closely with Mr. Crowley and Mr. Rinaldi and the team, we can come up with, you know, maybe some quota idea, maybe 15 next year, maybe 25 bulls next year, whatever, and then the State, at the same time, can go with their regulatory process, come up with a registration hunt to the -- to the Board and then they would be almost parallel, you're not going to work on dates, you know, but that would be something to negotiate. But right now I'm hearing a lot of background chat from different experts, we need to have more conversation before you come down to the exact number, the exact dates, what have you.

But my recommendation right now is to start the process is to increase the opportunity to make it available -- more harvest opportunity for those that have C&T under the Federal Program, and come up with a new quota, I think it's -- I don't remember exactly off the top of my head, but come up with a new consultation in consultation with the State and that'll be part of our process, and we have a chance to modify it or even withdraw it come fall time at the meeting.

That's what I have for you.

I think that would work, so if you had a motion to expand the current hunt to all those with C&T and keep the dates, times, what have you the same, and possibly have a TBA for the harvest to be set in consultation, that would meet the function of a -- I don't think it'd minimally meet it but it would meet -- but it would exceed what the opportunity is right now under Federal -- under the Federal Program, and as the State determines what's necessary to get their hunts in line, in theory, it'll be almost in parallel with the registration and the idea. But the two things that are important is to control the harvest and also the inseason reporting and that can be capt -- has been captured on record as supported by Staff -- excuse me, by Council members at this meeting.

Longwinded, yes. But I believe there's a solution. We can keep this fairly simple. Keep it slightly expanded and, you know, two years from now if it's going, you know, gang-busters, we can even do more.

0216 1 Thank you, Madame Chair. 2 3 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 6 7 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I think what George suggested is really good. If I might suggest a way to 8 approach that and because he talks way faster than my 9 10 brain can process sometimes, would be for -- to get down the gist of what he said, I would make a motion on 11 12 that, or go along with that, whoever wanted to, but if 13 we had that maybe typed up and then sent to us over our 14 lunch break, or at the end of it, then we'd have 15 something precisely deal with but I think that's a 16 solid approach for this time. 17 18 Thank you. 19 20 MR. KOSO: Madame Chair. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. 23 MR. KOSO: Madame Chair. 24 25 26 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick. 27 28 MR. KOSO: Yeah, no, I agree with Pat 29 on that there. And like I got one question though, 30 know this is for the other communities, is that going 31 to be residents only from the other communities, 32 because we do have guides in Cold Bay with airplanes 33 and they can easily bring people in and go out there 34 I guess just to clarify, that would be just and hunt. 35 for residents of those communities? 36 37 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: From the Federal 38 standpoint I would say yes because it's basically under 39 the umbrella of subsistence use at this time is what 40 I'm thinking if we do it under the Federal. And that 41 also raised a question to me because Dave had stated 42 earlier, from the State's standpoint, because of it on 43 Federal lands, can you clarify how that works, Dave, 44 again. 45 46 MR. CROWLEY: Yes, thank you, Madame

Chair. Dave Crowley. For the State hunt to work the

restriction on having only qualified hunters allowed on

Federal lands, that would have to be lifted because

49 50

47

there just simply isn't enough room on the island that is not Federal lands, you know, to put State hunters in. And as Todd mentioned the caribou aren't there much anyway, it's kind of that north end of the island.

And so for any State hunt to work that would have to be rescinded, that restriction.

And I think that, you know, we would probably get more non-resident hunters going out there than resident hunters, I suspect, if we opened it to non-resident hunters, and that's because they're out hunting bears already. That would require a season extension into bear season. But these are all details that we can work out later. But ultimately if we're going to have a resident, and possibly non-resident hunt on Unimak Island, we would need that Federal lands restriction rescinded.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Dave. George, can you comment to that based on what you were proposing.

MR. PAPPAS: I can't disagree. That's -- for the State to move forward for any type design it would have to be opened to non-Federally-qualified. Even though, yes, there will be Alaska residents that are Federally-qualified that will participate under State regulations. That'll also open up the lands closer to town. Yes, they're not always there, but it'll open up the entire island over time, so I guess it'd be a two part proposal.

One part would be to rescind the closure to non-Federally-qualified, trusting that the State management won't put a thousand people on there over the weekend, which is extremely impossible, if you ask me, so rescind, and then expand the current registration hunt to the rest of the communities, and then you can write the dates, keep the dates, and then have a quota to be determined. It sounds like there's a lot more available than we currently thought there were a couple years ago.

So those three things would work.

And the most important thing would be for the Refuge, OSM and Fish and Game to work to get an analysis to present all the information necessary for you guys to make a solid decision, to fully support or modify it at the next meeting.

MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

10 Rebecca.

 MS. SKINNER: Thanks. So a question, first, procedurally, did Rick successfully withdraw his motion, so we have no motion on the floor at the moment?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  PAPPAS: That is correct in  $\operatorname{my}$  opinion.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That is correct.

MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you. So what I would like to do is I'm going to make a motion that just add the ability for those C&T communities to engage in the hunt, keep the same dates, and then have the quota be determined in consultation with the State and Federal managers. I'm going to do that in one motion.

And then to me it seems appropriate to have a second motion that deals with basically opening up Unimak Island to all hunters. And the reason I suggest doing two separate motions is because that step seems like a much bigger step that I feel like because this is kind of just coming up now in our discussion we probably haven't had a lot of time to consider information and think about what that would do.

But at the very least it seems like we do support opening up the Unimak hunt to Federally-qualified residents of Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and -- and Sand Point. So I'm going to go ahead and make that motion now and then if that passes I can make a motion to rescind the Federal limitation, or whatever that language needs to be.

So at this point I'd like to make a motion to -- for Unimak Island, Unit 10, to have the one bull by Federal registration permit open to

residents of Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and Sand Point, so those are the communities with the C&T determination, the dates would remain the same and the quota would be determine -- that's to be determined in consultation between the State and Federal management.

MS. CHERNOFF: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MS. CHERNOFF: I just wanted to check in on this before we go through with these motions. I was wondering if another option is to increase harvest in those C&T communities, if it's a possibility to do community hunts. I know you were talking about it's difficult to get to. I know from living here and everywhere, not everybody has а boat, traditionally, you know, you pool your resources and kind of hunt for the community. So before we put all these motions out there I wanted to make sure that that was or wasn't an option, also, to do a community hunt. If someone could answer that first.

you speaking?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Natasha, is that

MS. CHERNOFF: No, this is Coral.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, Coral, okay, sorry. Coral, just historically I think when these guys go hunting over in False Pass they take whoever -- you know, basically more than one person and then they do share in the community, that's historically what they've been doing.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes. But I was wondering if there was an opportunity -- because generally if you go hunting with two or three people you do share, but you also have that -- are you limited to one bull, but if you had a community hunt option, so I'm just wondering is there a community hunt option, so like two people could go out that have a boat, or three people, and harvest 25 bulls, or five bulls or whatever?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: There's a designated hunter permit that allows that hunter to take -- let's use me, as an example, okay, if I had permit I could give it to that person to get my bull.

That's basically -- so there is a designated hunter option in place. 2 3 4 MS. CHERNOFF: And is that just for 5 hunting for old people or older people or disabled 6 people or can you hunt anybody's? 7 8 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Ι think 9 it's.... 10 MS. CHERNOFF: 11 And I guess still my 12 question is maybe -- maybe my question is for someone 13 else to answer, is there a possibility for a community 14 hunt for more harvest, if I could get that answered 15 before we carry on with our other -- all these -- these 16 other motions? 17 18 MR. KOSO: Madame Chair. 19 20 MR. PAPPAS: Madame Chair. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I heard Rick and 23 maybe George, I'm not sure. 24 25 MR. KOSO: George is smarter than me, 26 I'll let him go, Della. 27 28 MR. PAPPAS: I was going to put Robbin 29 on the spot for the community harvest hunt -- excuse 30 me, the community hunt question. Robbin. 31 32 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. 33 This is Robbin. And I'm not necessar -- I'm not 34 feeling confident yet of addressing community harvest. 35 I do know we have options and I have a couple of 36 questions myself before I advise so I would like to --37 I would like to do a little bit more research and get 38 back to you, if that's okay, in a few minutes. 39 40 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So basically, 41 Coral, the designated hunter, or the hunters will go out with a boat and they'll take three or four 42 43 designated hunter permits with them, if people would like them to hunt for them. The case in King Cove, we 44 45 do -- there's people here that -- elders that'll give a 46 designated hunter permit to somebody in Cold Bay to get 47 their caribou and they'll send it over on a plane, so 48 that's in place. As far as a community harvest, I'm

not sure. And the other thing we need to be mindful

0220

49

```
0221
     of, as far as False Pass, in the past we had a small
    population of people, about 40, 50 people, now with a
    processing community there that number is a lot larger,
     so I do need to give Tommy a call during lunch and just
    kind of bounce some of this stuff off his head and see
 5
    what his thoughts are in regard to it.
 6
 7
 8
                    With that, I guess, there is a motion
 9
     on the floor.
10
11
                    MR. ROHRER:
                                  Della, this is Sam, I'll
12
     second the motion.
13
14
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
                                                  A motion
15
    has been made by Rebecca and seconded by Sam.
16
     Discussion.
17
18
                    MR. KOSO:
                              Della.
19
20
                    MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.
21
22
                    MR. KOSO:
                              Della.
23
24
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Rick, go
25
     ahead.
26
27
                    MR. KOSO: So just for clarification a
28
    little bit, I think we had Pat also throw in a motion
29
    prior to this one, so I don't know if that's still on
30
     the floor or do we need to do something with that
31
     first.
32
33
                    MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.
34
35
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.
36
37
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                  I don't know, I can't
38
     remember if we did or not. I'll be glad to withdraw
39
          I have one question on the present moment --
    motion, and customary and traditional because you named
40
41
     off -- it was named off every \ place but Cold Bay and I
42
    was just wondering if because there's a lot of folks
43
    now that -- some folks in Cold Bay that used to be King
44
    Cover's and from Belifosky and False Pass and folks
45
    move all around, and I was just wondering if it's
46
    possible for Cold Bay residents to be included in that
47
    list of accepted residents.
```

Thank you, Madame Chair. 50

MR. MIKE: Madame Chair, this is

Donald.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

5 Donald.

MR. MIKE: As far as the motion process is concerned, Mr. Holmes was leading up towards a motion but there was no second, so currently we have a motion made by Ms. Skinner and seconded by Sam Rohrer, and that's what I have on my notes.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you for that clarification, Donald. And also, Pat, on Page 55 under Unit 10 it has the communities of Cold Bay, False Pass, King Cove, Nelson Lagoon and Sand Point.

MR. HOLMES: Oh, good, fine is kind. Thank you, I just missed that with my funky hearing.

Thank you.

MR. PAPPAS: And, Madame Chair, I have one more question that has not been answered yet. I am embarrassed I have not answered this for you yet about the designated hunting limits for your area, it's kind of unique.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. Go ahead.

MR. PAPPAS: So if you look in regulations there, Unimak Island caribou designated hunter. A Federally-qualified subsistence user may designate another Federally-qualified subsistence user to take caribou on their behalf unless the recipient is a member of a community operating under a community harvest system. So just talking about the designated hunting. Designated hunter must get a permit and let's see here, the designated hunter may hunt for any number of recipients but may have no more than four limits -harvest limits in possession at one time. So as you indicated folks go out and get two or three, the regulations indicate a designated individual could go get four total harvest limits. So that's not the same thing as a community harvest, harvesting -- having a super harvester harvesting more, but that is a fair

```
0223
    amount of animals per hunter.
 2
 3
                    Thank you, Madame Chair.
 4
 5
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Thank you. And
 6
     so if you actually had two hunters with four permits
 7
     apiece, then that's eight. So.....
 8
                                            Sorry,
 9
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                    Della.
                                                    Della,
10
    this is Rebecca, I have a question.
11
12
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                    TRUMBLE:
                                                Go ahead,
13
    Rebecca.
14
15
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                     Thanks.
                                              I wanted to
     clarify -- so following up on Pat's question about Cold
16
17
    Bay, and whether it would be included or not, I -- I
18
    would like to get clarification on whether it would be
19
    included, because under -- under the caribou section
20
    there on Page 55 it specifies Akutan, False Pass, King
21
    Cove and Sand Point, so the motion I made specified
    communities with a C&T finding for -- for caribou, so
22
23
    -- I assume is the Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and
24
    Sand Point. So just to make sure we're all on the same
25
    page, I'm not sure if this is a Robbin question, but
26
    can somebody clarify if -- is Cold Bay in or is Cold
27
    Bay out?
28
29
                    Thank you.
30
31
                    MS. LAVINE:
                                  Madame Chair, this is
32
    Robbin.
33
34
                    MR. MIKE:
                                   Madame Chair, this
                                                        is
35
    Donald.
36
37
                                    TRUMBLE:
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                                Go ahead,
38
     Donald.
39
                                   Madame Chair, this is
40
                    MR. MIKE:
               The way I understood the motion that was
41
     Donald.
42
    proposed was to open it up to the remaining C&T
43
    communities as shown on Page 55 and currently we're in
44
    discussion so, you know, the Council can choose to make
45
     an amendment to the main motion.
46
47
                    Thank you, Madame Chair.
48
49
                                                Thank you,
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
```

```
0224
    Donald. So....
 2
 3
                    MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.
 4
 5
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Yes, go ahead,
 6
    Rick.
 7
 8
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I think it would be
 9
    real easy in the motion to rather than name the
10
    individual communities out there is to name all the
11
    communities within the Aleutians East Borough and that
    includes Akutan, Nelson, and Sand Point and all the
12
13
    ones we're talking about.
14
15
                    MR. ROHRER: Madame Chair, this is Sam,
16
    I have a question.
17
18
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Sam.
19
20
                                 Okay. And this is along
                    MR. ROHRER:
21
    the lines of what Rick is saying.
                                            But I've been
22
    sitting here wondering, the issue, according to the
23
    State is they're starting to get concerned about the
    numbers of caribou, we want to bump -- we're looking at
24
25
    bumping harvest levels, and potentially making a motion
26
    to -- to rescind the Federal restrictions so that the
27
    State can put in place a season, if we're looking at
    doing that, then why not just follow what we've done
28
29
    with the Unit 10 remainder and make the Unit 10, Unimak
30
    Island open to all rural residents.
                                              Again, it's
31
    probably not going to result in any additional harvest
32
    but it just makes it -- it simplifies it.
                                                    Again,
    remember, our goal is to increase harvest, or that's
33
34
    what the State's saying our goal needs to be, is to
35
    increase harvest, so I would -- I would make a motion
    to amend Rebecca's motion to open it to all rural
36
37
    residents if there was support for that.
38
39
                                 Madame Chair, this
                    MR. MIKE:
                                                        is
40
    Donald.
41
42
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                    TRUMBLE:
                                                Go ahead,
43
    Donald.
44
45
                    MR. MIKE: Yeah, just as part of the
    discussion by Mr. Rohrer, Unit 10 remainder, all rural
46
47
    residents, that means all residents in the state of
48
    Alaska would be able to hunt caribou in Unit 10 Unimak,
49
    remainder, just for clarification.
```

0225 1 Thank you. 2 3 MR. ROHRER: Yeah, and thank you, 4 Donald, I did understand that. Again, it's probably realistically not going to result in that much more 5 harvest. But, yeah, I did understand that. 6 7 8 MS. CHERNOFF: Madame Chair, this is 9 Coral. 10 11 MS. SKINNER: So I'm.... 12 13 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. 14 -- first I think I heard Coral and then Rebecca, or 15 Rebecca and then Coral. 16 17 MS. CHERNOFF: Thank you. I just 18 wanted to agree with Sam's suggestion there, to open to 19 all rural residents. 20 21 Thank you. 22 23 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca. 24 25 MS. SKINNER: Yeah, I was just going to 26 second Sam's motion if I was allowed to do that. 27 28 MR. MIKE: Madame Chair, this is 29 Donald. 30 31 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, 32 Donald. 33 34 MR. MIKE: I was just trying to keep 35 track of the discussion on the motion. So Mr. Rohrer, did you state that you wished to make an amendment to 36 37 the main motion to open it to all rural residents for 38 Unimak caribou? 39 40 Thank you. 41 42 MR. ROHRER: Yes. This is Sam. Yes, 43 sir, that was a motion. 44 45 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So 46 discussion, this is Della. Is that the limit up to 25 47 caribou or what is there a limit -- a limit, it's not 48 open for any take at all, is there a limit to this 49 discussion on the caribou, on the bulls?

MS. SKINNER: So this is Rebecca. So my understanding is I -- it's still a registration permit but the people who are allowed to register are any -- it's any rural resident in Alaska can register and then as far as the quota -- so it's -- it's one bull per permit, but the overall quota in my original motion, that was to be determined by consultation between the State and Federal management. So we, at this point, my -- my main motion did not set a quota number because I think there's more discussion to be had on that and George indicated that that was okay, that detail can kind of be worked until this would come back to us at our fall meeting, was -- was my understanding.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you for

19 that.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, having traveled out there since the '60s and lived out in that neck of the woods, some nasty storms and what not, and good weather, I think the whole idea that, you know, our Council should be looking at is that we're having an increase of possibly of a harvest of 20, but the folks that traditionally have harvested those caribou out there are folks in basically the Aleutians Borough, and I think we should address that first, see how that hunt goes, and then you can expand it out to rural residents for other places, because for the most part somebody from Quinhagak or Kodiak hardly ever has been to Unimak Island and so I think it should be -and the harvest basically used to be the folks down there with the seiners or a big gillnetter would take somebody from their village, toodle over there and then come back, or distribute it to -- what they got to folks on the way back to King Cove, or up the other side to Nelson Lagoon.

Myself, I think it's a little premature to expand it to all residents with respect to Sam and Becky and that thought, but I think we should just stay as a small a area as initially possible and then whatever develops on the State side, that might expand

```
0227
    it, but I think that, you know, it's our duty to look
    at things for local folks first.
 2
 4
                    Thank you, Madame Chair.
 5
 6
                    MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.
 7
 8
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Thank you, Pat.
 9
    Rick.
10
11
                    MR. KOSO:
                               Yeah, I concur with Pat on
12
           I think we should keep it restricted to the
13
    Aleutians East Borough before we open it up and see how
14
    that goes, and if it looks like we can open it up for
15
    everybody later on down the road then we can do it at
    that time. But I hate to see -- it doesn't take a
16
17
    whole lot of people to come down in their own private
18
    plane and go out to Unimak and shoot caribou from the
19
    other villages. So I would say restriction to
20
    Aleutians East Borough.
21
22
                    MS. SKINNER: Della, I have a question,
23
    this is Rebecca.
24
25
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                   TRUMBLE:
                                                Go ahead,
26
    Rebecca.
27
28
                                 Yeah, thanks.
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                                 I never
29
    actually got an answer to my question about the --
30
    perhaps I didn't state this part specifically, but the
31
    C&T determination as it relates to Cold Bay, but
32
    following up on Rick's comment, can -- I mean can we
33
    even say that this is limited to residents of Aleutians
34
    East Borough or does it have to be community specific
35
             those communities have to
    and do
                                             have a
    determination? If -- I'm assuming this is a Robbin
36
37
    question but if I can get clarification on that I'd
38
    appreciate it.
39
40
                    Thank you.
41
42
                    MS. LAVINE:
                                 Madame Chair, this is
43
    Robbin.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.
                                                        Go
46
    ahead, Robbin.
47
48
                    MS. LAVINE: Yeah, hi. So if -- if you
49
    would like to expand the eligibility of the Federally-
```

qualified subsistence users or expand who is eligible then you will need to put in a customary and traditional use determination proposal and you can request, you know, you can request one, two, three communities, or you can request all communities within a region. But that assessment will need to be conducted in tandem with a new hunt proposal as well.

## Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Robbin, this is Della, and maybe George can correct me if I'm wrong. But if I recall correctly when we had the big crash on the 90 caribou and we slowly came back with the permit under the Federal subsistence for caribou, we did use the community -- the six communities of the Aleutians East Borough because Cold Bay traditionally had hunted caribou also. So I think what Rick was suggesting, I think, is....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So maybe -- so I don't know if we can just technically table this and take a break and maybe give our brains a little break and come back after lunch. I feel like we're all over the place right now.

MR. MIKE: Madame Chair, this is Donald. I just want to remind the Council that we currently have an amended motion on the table.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. MIKE: Do you want to take care of that now before we go on lunch break or just reconvene and start the discussions again after lunch.

Thank you.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MADAME}}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Sam, what do you think, you were the one with the amendment.

MR. ROHRER: I can withdraw my amendment if there's not support for it so we don't have to vote on it, that's fine. But before I do that, though, I'm curious, we just were told we would have to

do a C&T determination for, you know, do a new C&T determination if we opened it to all rural residents, but I'm wondering, again, as I look here, the Unit 10 remainder is open to all rural residents, so was there a statewide C&T determination on the Unit 10 remainder that has been done in the past?

MR. PAPPAS: Madame Chair, George Pappas. Robbin LaVine, it looks like she just dropped out of the teleconference here. So the discussion is, yes, you did have an amendment to all rural residents and then there was discussion of just Aleutians East Borough only, it gives the potential of other people coming in from maybe long distances, or from Kodiak, or what have you, so there's two different discussions going on here, Sam. And if you could do something less than -- if -- we have a C&T that's very focused, and then we have a statewide

a C&T that's very focused, and then we have a statewide C&T for the rest of Unit 10. If you're looking at doing something in between that determination, I believe what Robbin was leading to, has to be done, if you're going to, you know, pick six or 8 communities and then another community is only 20 miles, why didn't you choose them, there'd have to be an analysis of why and how, and during that analysis it'll also come out what the options are. Maybe this is not possible.

I apologize for not having the answers for you on this. We actually have a very short wildlife Staff bench right now -- bench of wildlife Staff, so if you did put in for everybody, and with the intent as an alternative for -- an alternate for Aleutians East Borough, we can do an analysis to figure out how we can get there, bringing it back to you and you take a look at it.

Thank you, Madame Chair. Thank you,

 Sam.

MR. ROHRER: Well, this is Sam. Just a reply to that. That's what's making me wonder, if we already have a C&T determination for all of Unit 10 remainder, I just wonder if there's not already been — if we don't already have a C&T determination on the books for Unit 10 Unimak Island as well. It just seems funny to me that we would have a C&T determination for remainder for the entire state, but not for Unimak Island. So that doesn't quite add up to me.

1 MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair, this is

2 Robbin.

3

4 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

5 Robbin.

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MS. LAVINE: So when you see -- in our regulation books when you see customary and traditional use determinations of all rural residents, that means there actually hasn't been a specific customary and traditional use determination analysis done for that species in that region. And this is -- these are kind of holdovers from this new Federal Subsistence Management Program, relatively new, so we can dial these things in over time and if there hasn't been any customary and traditional use determination made then you'll see all rural residents. So that's why that's there.

18 19 20

## Thanks.

21 22

23

2425

26

27

MR. ROHRER: Robbin, this is Sam. Just to follow up on that. So what I hear you saying is if it's wide open, it's open to all rural residents but as it gets dialed down you do the C&T determinations, but then if we try to dial it back out, we have to continue to use a C&T determination to expand. Does that make sense?

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. LAVINE: Yeah. Yeah. Madame Chair. Through the Chair, this is Robbin again. So if -- we can look at adding communities or areas to a C&T and especially when you're looking at a bounded population like the Unimak Island caribou, we know populations can fluctuate and we know there may be times in the future where numbers decline again, and when that's the case, once you have customary and traditional use determinations in place -- customary and traditional use determinations are meant to be expansive, they're meant to be inclusive, the Board is really wide open when there isn't a constrained population or a conservation issue. But once it becomes limited and we need to be careful of harvest numbers then we have another option and that's called the prioritization process among Federally-qualified subsistence users, and then I would conduct an .804. And that's what we did for the Unimak Island Caribou Herd in creating that limited season for False Pass residents only. You'll see that there are four

communities for Unimak Island caribou that have customary and traditional use determinations, so because the population was so small, that there was limited opportunity, there could be a small amount of harvest, we wanted to open it up a little bit and so we looked at prioritizing among Federally-qualified users to create that opportunity and we ended up with, well, if we're opening up just a little that would be False Pass based on dependence of the resource, location, you know, proximity to the resource, and availability of other similar resources.

And so that's what we're looking at when we do an .804. And if you look back in your Council books, the special action for the Unimak Island caribou has that .804 and I believe it may even be included in the proposal — the regulatory proposal that — I think it was in 2018 or — I think it was in 2018, or 2020, I can't remember — but it was relatively recently. So we have these opportunities to be expansive and inclusive and then we have these opportunities to prioritize among Federally-qualified subsistence users if we need to.

 $\,$  And, yeah, I  $\,$  can answer more questions if I'm not making sense.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. My recommendation, I don't know about all of you but my brain is all over the place right now with this. I have more questions for the State also. So, Sam, can we maybe table your amendment for now and then just table the motion. I really would like to talk to -get a hold of Tom Hoblet during the lunch break and maybe even have him call in. I'm not comfortable, you know, without having somebody from the community online to throw something in there if we need more clarification.

 So my recommendation is if we could go ahead and take a break until 1:30 and maybe between now and then, those of us, Federal Staff, or even possibly State Staff can think about some solutions would be to our action after lunch.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  ROHRER: Through the Chair. Yeah, Della, I'm certainly fine with it being tabled until

```
0232
 1
    after lunch.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca, are you
 4
    okay with that?
 5
 6
                    MS. SKINNER: Yeah, I -- I'm fine with
 7
    that. Robbin, are you available, if I can give you a
    call over lunch to just try to make sure I understand
    this and maybe streamline a little bit when we get back
 9
10
    after lunch?
11
12
                     MS. LAVINE: Absolutely, I'm available.
13
    Thank you, Madame Chair.
14
15
                     MS. SKINNER: All right, thanks.
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                                  Thank you
18
    everyone, we'll take a break until 1:30.
19
20
                     (Off record)
21
22
                     (On record)
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Donald,
25
    maybe we'll go ahead and try to do a roll call and see
26
    where we're at.
27
28
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. This is Donald Mike,
    Council Coordinator. Roll call.
29
30
31
                     Pat Holmes.
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     MR. MIKE: Pat Holmes.
36
37
                     (No comments)
38
39
                    MR. MIKE: Rick Koso.
40
41
                     (No comments)
42
43
                     MR. MIKE: Sam Rohrer.
44
45
                    MR. ROHRER: I'm here.
46
47
                    MR. MIKE: Chris Price.
48
49
                    MR. PRICE: Here.
50
```

```
0233
 1
                     MR. MIKE: Coral Chernoff.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     MR. MIKE: Rebecca Skinner.
 6
 7
                     MS. SKINNER: Here.
 8
 9
                     MR. MIKE: Della Trumble's here.
10
11
                     Natasha Hayden.
12
13
                     (No comments)
14
15
                     MR. MIKE: Melissa Berns.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, I'll go back to the
20
     top. Pat Holmes, are you with us?
21
22
                     MR. HOLMES: Yes.
23
24
                     MR. MIKE: Okay.
25
26
                     Rick Koso.
27
28
                     MR. HOLMES: Hello.
29
30
                     MR. MIKE: Rick Koso, are you with us?
31
32
                     MR. KOSO: Yes.
33
34
                     MR. MIKE: Coral Chernoff.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     MR. MIKE: Natasha Hayden.
39
40
                     (No comments)
41
42
                     MR. MIKE:
                                  Melissa Berns. Madame
43
     Chair, everyone's here except for three members. Thank
44
     you.
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you,
47
     Donald. And maybe while we're waiting a little bit,
48
     I'll kind of share something and you guys can correct
49
     me if I'm wrong. Currently in place under 9D, we have
50
```

a Federal system and the State. And at one point, caribou came back we were asked -- we were told if we did not want to have the Federal permit system in place we didn't have to and it can all go under State, and that included the hunting on the Federal lands.

Now, I requested to keep those State permits in place for mainly one reason and that had to do with the subsistence hunt permit with the designated hunter. And the reason I wanted that, it's so much easier under the Federal guidelines to do designated hunter than it is the State. The State is much more stringent, I think, like you have to be blind, you have to be all these other things that where under the Federal part of it, if you're an elder you could qualify, you could hand your permit to somebody, to a designated hunter. And one of the things I always wanted to do was try and I've asked, you know, told this is what you should consider is going to the State and asking if they can change the regulations for a designated hunter and at some point in time I think it's something we should look at.

So given that scenario, and correct me if I'm wrong, is the fact that right now under Izembek Unit 9D, the State allowed to have hunters hunt on those lands, so those restrictions have been lifted. When Dave was talking about rescinding -- lifting those restrictions on Unimak, that's what he's asking for.

So basically if you put that same scenario in place for Unimak, then it would work; is that correct?

MR. MIKE: Madame Chair, this is Donald.

38 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Can anyone 39 answer that?

MR. MIKE: If I can refer to George Pappas. George.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you, Madame Chair. I think -- let me make sure I understood your reference. So the Federal Subsistence Program has a delegated hunter possibility, harvester for another individual and that is much more -- that's just for anybody else that's Federally-qualified, and you want to see this --

that ability to have, say, one Federally-qualified hunter harvest four animals, four bag limits at a time for other delegated hunters, is that what you indicated, or you indicated you prefer the State proxy system where you have to be Federally handicapped, blind, or other -- or elder, you -- you like the idea of the Federal designated hunting system; is that what you're supporting, ma'am?

10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That is correct. 11 Each year....

MR. PAPPAS: Okay.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: .....Izembek sends me about 20 hunting permits that....

MR. PAPPAS: Uh-huh. (Affirmative)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: .....you can hunt caribou in 9D under the Federal system.

MR. PAPPAS: Uh-huh. (Affirmative)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: With those permits, it also includes a number of designated hunter permits which allows us to -- No. 1., I can get my caribou permit and I can give it and fill out a designated hunter form and give it to someone that's going hunting, and that's much easier -- so that system works.

MR. PAPPAS: Uh-huh. (Affirmative)

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And so the State still has their permits and like what I'm saying, at some point, and about Ithink maybe two years ago when—we were — basically said, hey, Della, you don't need to — you guys — you know, you don't need, and this is at the statewide level, you don't need the Federal subsistence permits anymore, this is all open for hunting anywhere, but we requested to keep those in place and that was the very reason why is the structure under the designated hunter.

MR. PAPPAS: Understood. So translating that to Unimak at this time, if you wanted to maintain what you have right now, and possibly liberalize it a little bit to meet the growing

population of the herd, we can discuss that. We have actually four options for you to look at for collecting information that the Council's provided us so far today, and when you're ready I'll have Jared post it on the Teams meeting and we can take a look and talk about the plus and minus, ma'am.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

 $$\operatorname{MADAME}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: And, I'm sorry, George, can you repeat that again, I had the -- oh, I had something here.

MR. PAPPAS: No problem. No problem. So from the top, I understand the value of the designated hunter provision in the Federal regulation is -- is preferred -- is preferred for what is done So for Unimak, the idea of keeping a east of you. Federal designated hunt, permit hunt available to Federally-qualified users is a bonus, you'd like that. So you could do that, we could continue with what you have right now, and -- or we have basically four options we came up over lunch time to post on this Teams meeting for you to look at it, if you're interested, and we can go through them. But, yes, if you go 100 percent State permits only, and don't have a Federal permit and don't end up with a designated hunting ability on the Federal side, you won't be able to address some of the concerns you talked about earlier, and I believe Coral brought it up, individuals from a community going and getting -- harvesting for two, three, or four other families.

So when you're ready we'll pop this up and take a look at it.

Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. And then just also before you do that, I was not able to talk to Tom Hoblet, he's on the fishing grounds and not able to contact him. I did talk to his wife and she agrees that we probably need to do something because we don't want the herd to crash again if the populations are coming up so -- so I guess with that maybe we'll, real fast, Donald, if you can see if the other members are available and then we'll go to the presentation by George.

```
0237
 1
                    MR. MIKE: Okay. Okay, Madame Chair,
    thank you. This is Donald Mike, Council Coordinator.
 2
 4
                    We're doing a roll call of Council
 5
    members.
 6
 7
                    Coral Chernoff, are you with us.
 8
 9
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, I'm here.
10
11
                    MR. MIKE: Okay.
12
13
                    Natasha Hayden.
14
15
                    MS. HAYDEN: Yes, I'm here.
16
17
                    MR. MIKE: And Melissa Berns.
18
19
                    MS. BERNS: Yes.
20
                                          Melissa, you're
21
                    MR. MIKE:
                                 Okay.
22
    coming in faint but Madame Chair, I just want to --
23
    prior to our lunch break we tabled an amended motion to
    the main motion. Amended motion by Sam Rohrer and
24
    seconded by Ms. Skinner. And the main motion -- Ms.
25
26
    Skinner provided a main motion for a proposal and
27
    seconded by Mr. Sam Rohrer. So we currently have a
    motion on the table so it's up to the Council if they
28
29
    want to go forward with it or hear the presentation
30
    first, and then go back to the motion.
31
32
                    Thank you.
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Rebecca, what
35
    would you like to do since you're the maker of the
36
    motion.
37
38
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                 Yeah, it'd be -- it'd be
39
    good to hear.
40
41
                    MS. SKINNER: I -- I'm sorry, I thought
42
    we had a motion to amend on the floor.
43
44
                    MR. MIKE:
                                 Madame
                                          Chair, this is
45
              Yes, I was just reminding the Council members
     Donald.
46
    that we have an amended motion on the table right now
47
    prior to our lunch break and the Council agreed to
48
    table it until after lunch. And then there's the main
49
    motion for a proposal on the Unimak caribou. So I was
50
```

just reminding the Council where we're at.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, so -- so Della at a -- this is Rebecca. At a high level, I would like to see the Council put forward a wildlife proposal dealing with the Unimak caribou. If your question is am I ready at this point to withdraw my motion, I guess I'm not ready at this point. I -- I think if the motion needs to be amended, that's fine, but like I said I --I really would like the Council to -- to put forward a wildlife proposal. So from my perspective I'm comfortable leaving my motion on the floor, but as Donald pointed out there is a motion to amend actually on the floor right now that we need to deal with in some way.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you for that. I'm sorry, my phone was just buzzing off the hook and I'm trying to deal with two things at one time. I just set the phone down and look at it later, so I apologize.

So I guess at this point we need to deal with the amendment, Sam.

MR. ROHRER: Yeah, so, Madame Chair, this is Sam. I don't think we've actually gotten a second for my amendment, Rebecca had kind of indicated she would second it, but I'm thinking if -- if the second, who was Rebecca kind of on my amendment, if she's okay with this, I'll withdraw my amendment and then we have just her proposal on the floor, and then I think it would be helpful to hear the presentation that OSM prepared for us.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$  with the concurrence of my second, I would withdraw my amendment for now.

MS. SKINNER: And this is Rebecca, that's fine.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, that works for everybody.

MR. HOLMES: And, Della, some place, could we review that motion.

2

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think maybe, if we can, Pat, at this time, go ahead and look at the presentation, then we'll go back to the main motion and maybe take it from there. Is that good?

4 5 6

MR. HOLMES: Yes, ma'am, sounds good.

7 8

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

George.

9 10 11

12

13

14

MR. PAPPAS: Yes, Madame Chair, you. We talked to a few folks during lunch and Jared put a couple options up here for you. And those options are a repeat of everything I've heard today so far, tried to keep this focused.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

Would be as discussed, to Option A. liberalize the Federal subsistence caribou hunt on Unimak Island by adding in the -- you'll see in bold there, Akutan, King Cove and Sand Point, and then add the clause about the annual harvest quota for the hunt delegated official -- there's to be established, different titles for that but basically the Refuge who has the letter of delegation, manager consultation with the State. But the consultation requirements for the letter of delegation includes the Regional Advisory Council -- it includes more than just calling the State on this, so, of course, the letter of delegation, there would be consultation with much more than just the State. That's Option 1. And I understand there was no discussion on modifying the dates yet. You know, the State talkedabout possibles -possibly working something out later on, but for this discussion today I heard nothing's changed with the date there.

35 36 37

Jared, if you'd mind scrolling down to Option B.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

Okay, so Option B, was the amendment that was offered to liberalize the Federal subsistence caribou hunt on Unimak by opening it beyond False Pass only, beyond the C&T communities only, but to the Aleutians East Boroughs. So this isn't written correctly, it should say the communities of Aleutians East Borough, but you understand the discussion of Cold Bay, some other areas that folks might want to come in harvest. Same deal about the hunt quota established by letter of deleg -- by the delegated

official with consultation. That'sOption B. We can -- what I heard. And that was one of the motions, I think, Mr. Koso indicated.

Okay, Jared, if you don't mind scrolling down a little bit more.

Option C. Okay. And the last one that Sam just pulled off the table there, as an amendment, was, for all rural residents. So open up to Federal subsistence for all rural residents. And, yeah, as discussed. So that would be -- that would be everybody who's a Federally-qualified subsistence user in Alaska.

And then Option D, would be one step beyond that, that would open Federal public lands to all users so -- but the Federal hunt would be for all rural residents. So that would be Option D. That would be down the line, plenty of animals, not an issue, with consultation, allocation, and that would be Option D. And that's where we hope to land some day, and it sounds like in maybe another survey or two we'll have a pretty solid grasp on how explosive this population is. I mean this is -- this is wonderful to talk about, extra harvest opportunity compare as to where you were 10, 15 years ago.

That's what I have for you, Madame Chair. Very simple. Those would -- unless you have other ideas, this is kind of what captured what was discussed today.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, George. If I.....

MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, Jared, can you scroll down so we can see both Option C and D on the screen. Okay, so I just -- so is -- is Option C like where the -- the wording after Option C in pink, did that -- is that the identical wording to Option B, did that just not get updated or....

```
0241
 1
                    MR.
                          PAPPAS:
                                      Through the
    Council Member Skinner, of course you don't miss
    single error ever, and I appreciate that and I
    apologize for that and I'll look on someone to blame
    this on, but it's my fault, yes, that is a mistake.....
 5
 6
 7
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay.
 8
                                  .....it was a cut and
9
                    MR. PAPPAS:
10
    paste effort, apologize. Thank you.
11
12
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay. Okay. So Option B
13
    is for the residents of Aleutians East Borough. Option
14
    B opens it up to all rural residents, and then what --
15
    what -- what is Option D?
16
17
                                 That would allow harvest
                    MR. PAPPAS:
18
    outside of Federally-qualified only. Option C would
19
    keep the island restricted to Federally-qualified users
20
    only.
21
22
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay.
23
24
                    MR. PAPPAS:
                                 See the -- you know,
    Federal public lands closed to take of, and Option D
25
26
    would be to -- to open it up to.....
27
28
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay.
29
30
                    MR.PAPPAS: ....tothe State hunt also.
31
32
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay.
33
34
                    MR. PAPPAS:
                                  So, yeah, apologize for
35
    that error.
36
37
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                 Yeah, I wasn't intending
38
    to call you out, I just wanted to make sure I
39
    understood what the options were, thanks.
40
41
                    MR. PAPPAS: Well, it's imperative you
42
    do, thank you.
43
44
                    (Laughter)
45
46
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                Madame Chair, Pat.
47
48
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.
49
```

MR. HOLMES: I think something that relates to all these options, including just leaving it the way it is now, is, what the definition of a resident of False Pass is because having several hundred cannery workers there, and I'm wondering because like at Unalaska, I believe they came up with a definition that you had to -- if you lived in a multiple dwellinghousing or something like that, in a -basically in a cannery bunkhouse then you wouldn't be considered a resident for subsistence, so that's a big Because if that's not the case and it's everybody living in False Pass, then we might want to just stay right where we're at rather than trying to expand anything until we know for sure what's going to be happening and who's going to be hunting out of False Pass.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair, this is

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

Robbin.

Robbin.

MS. LAVINE: Yeah, hi, in Federal regulations you must have your primary permanent place of residence be in a rural area and you have to have lived in Alaska for at least the previous 12 months. If you look in your wildlife, or probably fisheries regulation booklets under definitions you'll see a more detailed description of a resident, a Federally-qualified rural resident. And, yeah, people living in temporary housing for the purposes of work do not qualify, at least not in Unalaska.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, any other comments in regard to what has been presented.

MR. HOLMES: Is Rick on, we were chatting a bit about just leaving it the way it is because this is a -- rather than expanding things, at least through the season to see what happens, that's another option, earlier than A, I guess.

Thank you.

0243 1 MS. HAYDEN: Della, this is Natasha. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, 4 Natasha. 5 6 MS. HAYDEN: Thank you. I just -- I was 7 a couple of minutes late signing back in after lunch, I wasn't -- I didn't hear if there was an answer to -- if definitively if Cold Bay is not included in the 9 10 customary and traditional use -- as a customary and 11 traditional use community. Was that discussed? 12 13 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Robbin, do you 14 want to answer that. 15 16 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. 17 Through the Chair. That is correct, Cold Bay does not 18 have customary and traditional use for caribou on 19 Unimak Island. 20 21 Thank you. 22 23 MS. HAYDEN: Okay, thank you. 24 25 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So any other comments or questions. 26 27 28 (No comments) 29 30 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I do have 31 a question and this is for Dave in King Salmon. 32 Basically in your report you alluded to the number of 33 caribou and technically if we look back at the last two years that herd has expanded almost, I want to say just 34 off the top of my head and if I recall correctly, about 36 close to 200 caribou per year. If that is the case 37 then we go another year without expanding the ability 38 to hunt, the impact of that is going to be negative. 39 And that is what I truly understand and I believe. And 40 in talking to Ruth Hoblet, their concern also is that 41 they don't want the herd to crash because it's over 42 populated. 43 44 And so those are my concerns as we hold 45 these things off. 46

47 48

49

50

The other piece of this is we are in

the wildlife cycle and if we change this, and correct

me if I', wrong George, if we want to change this later

on it has to be done by special action and that would be next year. If we don't do anything now and we have our meeting in May then the season opens then August 1st, there is no changes, our meeting isn't until the fall, most likely September. Is that correct?

MR. PAPPAS: That is procedurally correct, yes. You don't have a meeting between and May, this is your meeting to submit a proposal or tell us to help you get one crafted by the deadline then you discuss it next September and then it gets voted on mid-winter. That's the procedure.

Special actions can be put in place for continued subsistence uses or conservation or public safety reasons.

So if a meteor hits the island, no animals, the Federal manager can close it with a pen stroke. To expand can be a little more challenging. But, yes, this is your chance right now, that's the answer to the question you're asking.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So given that, and I go back to what I said earlier, that if the Federal regulations, lift the restriction off of Unimak, which would allow the State to create a hunt, we can still allow and use Federal permits issued through Izembek with the designated hunter. And this would be an issue where the State would still have —be able to have their — anybody that wants to hunt under their guidelines also and that the tracking and coordination between the State and the Feds, and Izembek on the numbers that are being harvested to track it, then they can close it any time they feel they need to if the harvest limits look like they were being met.

## Is that correct?

MR. PAPPAS: Madame Chair, that is correct. And the Federal manager has the ability to put on a reporting requirement as a permit stipulation if warranted. So you know, two weeks, one week, I'm not certain what the State would use for their registration reporting, what they do in other areas, but the in-season manager can work closely with the State and come up with an active in-season management reporting requirements, nothing, you know, burdensome

to the point it's going to cause the problem, but nothing so lengthy that you're going to miss the accuracy of in-season management.

That is correct.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. So given all of that, Rebecca, what do you recommend or what would your thoughts be?

MS. SKINNER: So this whole, I guess, proposal process was kicked off by Rick's original motion to expand the hunting opportunity. It sounds like from the most recent comments that Rick made that perhaps he has changed his thoughts and does not want to provide opportunity beyond the people that live in False Pass -- or residents of False Pass. However, I also heard you state that you talked to residents in that area and they are concerned about the population.

The motion that's on the floor right now should reflect what is in Option A, which I would consider -- I think it's Option A, if you could scroll up, which does expand the hunt, but it does it in the most conservative manner possible, so it only expands the hunt to residents of the communities that have a C&T finding. So that would be False Pass, Akutan, King Cove and Sand Point. So those are the communities currently that have a C&T determination. And that's basically the motion that's on the floor right now.

So given that within Council discussion, I guess I am hearing two -- multiple perspectives, it's not clear to me where everyone stands. It might make the most sense to just do a vote on the motion and see if it passes or fails, because I'm hearing both leave the hunt where it is, don't change anything, but I'm also hearing concern if nothing is done and the herd expands too much and then crashes.

So my -- where I'm coming from, I do think -- I mean I will vote in favor of the motion. I think that expanding the hunt to the residents of Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and Sand Point makes sense at this time and, again, I do think it is the most conservative option that's on the table right now.

So it will provide expanded opportunity but it does so on a limited and controlled basis.

2 3 4

Thank you.

5 6

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

7 8

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick.

Rebecca.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

MR. KOSO: Yeah, I -- I've been looking at this for quite some time now and I guess my whole thing on this deal, you know, because of the good report we got that, you know, has really picked up on caribou, but, you know, I'd hate to see us open up more caribou hunting and then limit the residents of False Pass when we're looking at 25 caribou or whatever it is, you know, that they can take. I got a lot of unanswered questions. I think if you look at the area 9D, you know, the population is really growing, we're up around 3,100 caribou in 9D now and so people from Nelson Lagoon, King Cove, Sand Point, Cold Bay are not going to go over to Unimak Island and hunt caribou right now that I could see.

24 25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

think what I'd like to see, at least in my mind, is that because we got a fish plant there that we're really not sure of the residency or how many people would be actually able to hunt there, I've heard a report that there's different residency requirements for the Federal but, you know, the city requirements for residency, a lot of them are two weeks and a lot of them are one month to become a resident. So that can get really confusing. And I don't want to see, like I say, 900 people goes into False Pass when the plant is fully operational and so, you know, a lot of those people are not from out of state, and there's tremendous amount of them are coming out of Alaska itself that'll be working down there so they could have access to that also.

44

45

46

47

48

So I'm a little -- I guess I'm shying away from supporting an opening at this time. I think it ain't going to hurt to see that caribou herd grow. I'm always hearing if it's crashing and the thing is is that, you know, I don't think we're looking at a crash in a year, year and a half, two years time, I think we got a long ways to go to grow the caribou herd. you know, the predation of the wolves and stuff, I

0247 think, they'll also keep the caribou herd down. 2 3 So I would -- I don't know if I could 4 support a motion right now to expanding on this caribou 5 hunt. 6 7 (Teleconference interference 8 participants not muted) 9 10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think at 11 this.... 12 13 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 14 15 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 16 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I was wondering, I'm 17 18 kind of leaning towards -- oh, our bloody siren just 19 went off here for the Tsunami but it's doing the all 20 clear so I'll continue. 21 But the -- I tend to -- incline to 22 23 follow Rick's thinking but one factor in my mind, and I 24 didn't really hear your report on what the folks at 25 False Pass were thinking and that might move my 26 thoughts on this. 27 28 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: What I'd stated 29 earlier Pat was Ruth had stated that they would be 30 concerned if the herd crashed also if it was because of 31 over population issues. So that would be their 32 And she was going to, when she talks to concern. Tommy, have him give me a call but she hasn't talked to 33 34 him in a couple days and she doesn't think he's going 35 to be in at all until the season's over, they don't 36 expect it to last too long so. 37 38 Anyway, I think maybe..... 39 40 MR. HOLMES: Question for George. 41 42 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 43 44 MR. PAPPAS: Standing by. 45 46 MR. HOLMES: I was wondering if, you 47 know, if we went with Option A, and we went to the 48 Federal Board or to our fall meeting, would we be able 49 to flex or modify that either to Rick's perspective or

beyond that, or is this what we're locked into to talk about next fall?

MR. PAPPAS: I'll need Robbin to help me on this one because the analysis for expanding communities falls within our Anthropology Division. And expanding -- modifying at the fall meeting to say, move to Aleutians East Borough, which would require a C&T analysis would have to be done in the fall, between then and the final Federal Board meeting. Robbin, what's your take on doing a C&T between a RAC meeting-modified C&T between a RAC meeting and a Federal Subsistence Board meeting.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. This is Robbin. I would prefer to have longer, more time to conduct a customary and traditional use determination analysis, and that does not mean that that's where we have to land, but it's a decent amount of work but it's only, I think, to expand to the Aleutians East Borough was only adding, it would only add two more communities to the customary and traditional use determination list for Unimak Island so that would be -- it would add Cold Bay and it would add Nelson Lagoon.

And I'd be happy to start on that.

I also think that through the analysis process, through the reviews, we will be able to -- we will be able to look to the transcript, you have -- you have come up with a wonderful record of your concerns, different options that you might consider under certain circumstances and so we can go to the public record, we can go to the transcripts to help inform our discussions, the analysis and recommendations for you to consider. So we've got a lot of time and we've got a really creative and professional crew, and, you know, we may well be able to come up with a couple of different options for you to consider by next fall.

MS. SKINNER: Della....

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair.

48 MS. SKINNER: Sorry. Della, this is

49 Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca, and maybe Rebecca, can you restate what the motion was also that you had made.

MS. SKINNER: Yes. So I will start with the motion. The motion I made was to expand the registration hunt which is one bull by Federal registration to the residents of the communities that have a C&T determination, so those are the communities listed in Option A, which are Akutan, False Pass already has a hunt, King Cove and Sand Point. I did not change the dates, and the annual harvest quota was going to be a to be determined by consultation between the State and Federal management.

So that was the motion I made.

I think that -- I thought the question Pat Holmes just asked was if we put forward this proposal now, is this a, you know, done deal forever and ever, we can't change it or have a different position at our fall meeting. My understanding of the process is that, 1, if we want to put forward a proposal we have to do it at our meeting today because we're not going to have time before the deadline, and George Pappas confirmed that earlier. No. 2, initiating a proposal, so if we put forward a wildlife proposal between now and the fall meeting, there is going to be analysis of the proposal and I think a lot of work between -- or a lot of conversations between State and Federal management to come up with what the quota limit should be and also a lot of work on any customary and traditional use determinations that we ask for.

 So the motion that's on the floor right now, I don't think, requires any additional C&T determinations because the motion was limited to communities that already have a C&T determination. But if we wanted to add communities like Cold Bay and get a C&T determination for Cold Bay, that's something that we would need to indicate in our motion so that Robbin and her team have time to do the C&T determination before our fall meeting.

At the fall meeting, and somebody can correct me if I'm wrong, my assumption is that all of the wildlife proposals that are put forward from all of the RACs will be presented to us along with analysis,

and at that point, at our fall meeting, I assume that our RAC would take positions on the proposals. So, if at that point, we looked at the analysis and we said, okay this analysis does not support this change, we do not support this proposal that we, ourselves put forward, we would be free to vote that we don't support it and we could send that message to the Federal Subsistence Board, that we no longer support the proposal.

I think, I recall from previous wildlife cycles, we can also amend the proposal. So the proposal would be in front of us as written but we could recommend that the Federal Subsistence Board approve it with whatever changes we deem appropriate.

So to me the important thing was if we want to do something with Unimak caribou within the next three years, this is the appropriate time to do so because this is the wildlife proposal cycle. If we don't do it now, I mean we could ask for something, a special action, but I think if we want to do something with Unimak caribou and we know we want to, this is the time to do it so that it's within cycle.

Based on everything that I heard and the concerns with the population, that is what led me to put forward the motion to expand access to the hunt modestly. I would consider residents of -- so Federally-qualified residents of Akutan, King Cove and Sand Point, I -- based on what we've heard, there's probably not going to be a ton of extra effort so that seemed like a safe expansion of this hunt. So it would help deal with extra caribou population but would probably not be so high as to threaten to lower the population too much.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$  those are my thoughts on where we stand right now.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any other questions or comments.

MR. KOSO: Della -- Madame Chair.

48 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.

```
0251
 1
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, thank you, Rebecca,
    for clarifying some of the stuff there in your proposal
 2
    there. Understanding that we'd be able to amend or to
 4
    change this proposal down the road here.
 5
    definitely changes my perspective on some of this.
 6
 7
                    I heard, and maybe you could correct me
 8
     on this, is we can have a separate motion after this
     one to -- you know, like Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon are
 9
10
    two big users in the region and I would like to see
11
    them be part of this proposal. So the way I hear what
12
    Rebecca's stating right now, Rebecca, I would be in
13
    favor of your proposal and would suggest putting
14
    another proposal in for using Aleutians East Borough as
15
    the area.
16
17
                    MR. HOLMES: That makes sense, uh-huh.
18
19
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So Donald where
20
    are we at right now?
21
22
                    MR. MIKE: Madame Chair.
23
24
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We've got a
25
    motion on the floor....
26
27
                    MR. MIKE: Currently -- yeah, currently
28
    we have a main motion on the floor and Ms. Skinner
29
    indicated that her main -- her motion is in line with
30
    Option A for the existing communities that have C&T for
31
    Unimak caribou.
32
33
                    Thank you.
34
35
                    MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.
36
37
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.
38
39
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I'd like to call the
     question on this, I think we beat it up enough so I'll
40
41
    call the question.
42
43
                    MR. HOLMES: I'll second.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Donald, can you
46
    do a roll call.
47
48
                    MR. MIKE:
                                 Thank you, Madame Chair.
```

Roll call on proposal as -- a motion made by Ms.

49

```
0252
    Skinner to have a Federal registration permit for
    Federal public lands and closed to taking of caribou
    except by residents of Akutan, False Pass, King Cove,
    Sand Point and the annual harvest quota will be
 5
    established by delegated official in consultation with
    the State of Alaska.
 6
 7
8
                    Pat Holmes.
9
10
                    MR. HOLMES: Yes.
11
12
                    MR. MIKE: Rick Koso.
13
14
                    MR. KOSO: Yes.
15
16
                    MR. MIKE: Sam Rohrer.
17
18
                    MR. ROHRER: Yes.
19
20
                    MR. MIKE: Chris Price.
21
22
                    MR. PRICE: Yes.
23
                    MR. MIKE: Coral Chernoff.
24
25
26
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Yes.
27
28
                    MR. MIKE: Rebecca Skinner.
29
30
                    MS. SKINNER: Yes.
31
32
                    MR. MIKE: Della Trumble.
33
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes.
34
35
36
                    MR. MIKE: Natasha Hayden.
37
38
                    MS. HAYDEN: Yes.
39
40
                    MR. MIKE: And Melissa Berns.
41
42
                    MS. BERNS: Yes.
43
44
                    MR. MIKE: Melissa, did you say yes?
45
46
                    (No comments)
47
48
                    MR. MIKE: Melissa Berns.
49
```

```
0253
 1
                    MS. BERNS: Yes. Can you hear me?
 2
 3
                    MR. MIKE: Yes. You came in quiet that
 4
    time but you're loud and clear now, you're a yes.
 5
 6
                    Motion passes unanimously, Madame
 7
    Chair.
 8
 9
                    Thank you.
10
11
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,
    Donald. And then at....
12
13
14
                    MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.
15
16
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rick, go ahead.
17
18
                    MR. KOSO:
                                Yeah, I would like to
19
    propose another motion please.
20
21
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.
22
23
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I'd like to make a
24
    motion that we include the communities within the
    Aleutians East Borough, that there would also bring
25
26
    Nelson Lagoon and Cold Bay into it. I hate to leave
    Nelson Lagoon and Cold Bay out of this deal because
28
    they're a big part of our region, so I would make that
29
    a motion.
30
31
                    MS. SKINNER: I'll second, this is
32
    Rebecca.
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. A motion
35
    made by Rick Koso, second by Rebecca. Discussion.
36
37
                    (No comments)
38
39
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Question.
40
41
                    MR. KOSO: Call the question.
42
43
                    MR. HOLMES: Second.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All in favor
46
     signify by saying aye.
47
48
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
49
```

0254 1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed, same 2 sign. 4 (No opposing votes) 5 6 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. 7 8 Mr. Price, did you vote in MR. MIKE: 9 the affirmative or did you oppose. 10 11 MR. PRICE: Affirmative. 12 13 MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Price. Just 14 to clarify, Madame Chair, Mr. Koso moved to include the 15 communities of Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon for C&T for 16 Unimak caribou. Is that clear, thank you. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes. 19 20 MS. SKINNER: And all of 21 communities within Aleutians East Borough, isn't it? 22 23 MR. KOSO: Yes. 24 25 MR. MIKE: Thank you. 26 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. So I was 27 thinking at this point we've done the Unit 10 Unimak 28 29 caribou. I've got..... 30 31 MS. SKINNER: Della. 32 33 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. 34 35 MS. SKINNER: Yeah, this is Rebecca. I said that I was going to bring this second motion 36 37 forward and I'm going to go ahead and make this motion, 38 which is going to be to open up Unimak Island for a 39 State hunt, and to be clear I'm making this motion so 40 that we have some sort of a record of where the Council 41 stands on this issue since we do have -- we basically 42 heard a request from the State that they would like to 43 have a State hunt on Unimak Island. 44 45 So at this point I'd like to make a 46 motion to -- that the regulations be amended -- to 47 state along the lines, Federal public lands in Unimak 48 Island are closed to the harvest of caribou except by

Federally-qualified subsistence users

unless the

49

0255 population estimate exceeds a threshold to recommended by State and Federal management. 2 And if there's a second, I can speak more to the motion. 4 5 (Teleconference interference 6 participants not muted) 7 8 MR. ROHRER: This is Sam, I'll second 9 that. 10 11 MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you, 12 Sam. So what this motion is intended to do is to..... 13 14 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca. 15 16 MS. SKINNER: Yes, go ahead. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hold on one 19 Somebody's phone is not on mute, I can hear 20 you talking over Rebecca so go ahead Rebecca. 21 22 MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you. 23 What this motion is intended to do is when the 24 population of caribou on Unimak reaches a certain 25 threshold, so there's enough caribou that State and 26 Federal management agree that there should be more 27 harvest to maintain the kind of population equilibrium 28 that we're looking for, or that they're looking for, 29 then Unimak would be opened to users who are outside of 30 the Federally-qualified subsistence users. So that 31 would be -- that would allow the State to open up a 32 hunt on Unimak. 33 34 I specifically did not include a 35 population number, like 200 caribou, 300 caribou or 400 36 caribou because based on discussions that we've heard 37 today, I don't think we have that number yet, so if 38 this motion passes today then I would expect between 39 now and our fall meeting State and Federal management would recommend what that number should be. So it 40 would be a number of caribou. 41 So if the population hits that threshold, above that point, those caribou 42 43 would be available for a State hunt. 44

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rebecca. We have a motion made by Rebecca, second by Sam. Question -- or not question, discussion.

49 50

45

46 47

1 MR. ROHRER: Della, this is Sam. I'd 2 like to make a few comments.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Sam.

MR. ROHRER: So I mean I think I would support this. It certainly doesn't mean a State has to get open but, again, I come back to what I'm hearing Dave Crowley say is the State has concerns we're not going to kill enough caribou and we potentially are headed towards a crash, and then I look at Izembek's report that says 10 permits were allotted in 2020 -last year in 2020, and that and no harvest reports have been submitted, so my conclusion is is currently we're not harvesting any caribou. We just voted to put in a proposal to expand it a little bit, but the State's saying we need to kill more animals, they're concerned we need to kill more animals. Madame Chair, that's the same thing I heard you say when you talked to folks back in your community. So it makes sense to me to have this proposal as well and if there's opportunity we should, you know, be taking advantage of it.

So at this point, unless I heard something different, I would vote to support this.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Sam. Pat, can you give me your -- we're going to go through the line here, so Pat do you have any thoughts on this, comments.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I think what we've basically done here is we're proposing a progressive management plan with pre-Option A, B, and C, so I just -- I'll probably go along with it. But I thought we might want -- I don't know if we'd want to label it that when we send it in or not, but it's definitely a progressive action dependent on the population of caribou.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.

43 Rick.

(No comments)

47 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rick, are you 48 there.

0257 1 MR. KOSO: Oh, I'm sorry, I had it on mute, can you hear me now? 2 4 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We hear you now. 5 6 MR. KOSO: Sorry, I was talking to 7 myself here. All right. Anyway, I'll be going along with the proposal. I would support it. I think we have plenty of with the State and Feds there to, if 9 10 things get out of hand, they got the option to 11 emergency order. So I would support this motion. 12 13 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick. 14 15 Coral. 16 17 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, I guess, I would 18 -- actually, could I have this -- this proposal --19 could I just have it clarified, it would be to -- if 20 the population got to a certain point then it would be opened to the State and residents, non-resident 21 hunters? Is that what I'm hearing? 22 23 24 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes. 25 26 MS. CHERNOFF: Maybe you could just 27 read the proposal again. 28 29 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca. 30 31 MS. SKINNER: Sure. I will attempt to 32 recreate the proposal -- okay, so the general language 33 was this would be added into the regulation -- and -and just for reference I'm literally taking language 34 35 from the Nushagak caribou existing regulation. language would be Federal public lands are closed to 36 37 the harvest of caribou except by Federally-qualified 38 subsistence users unless the population estimate 39 exceeds a threshold to be recommended by State and 40 Federal management. 41 42 And, again, my expectation is that 43 threshold number would be presented to us in -- at our 44 fall meeting. So if this were to go to the Federal 45 Subsistence Board there would be an actual number of

Thank you.

caribou in there.

49 50

46

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - TV noise)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So Coral, did that answer your question?

MS. CHERNOFF: I think so but I -- I think -- so I'm just thinking I'm confused by other people's comments. But my thought was that so then this would be -- like as Pat said we sort of -- our options in front of us sort of, you know, started out with a localized and then they sort of ramped up to be amended to extend to Aleutians East Borough and then our third option was to open to all Federally-qualified users and then the last was to open toall so I think --I guess I was feeling like in our discussion all day we were trying not to get to the open to all in the state so I'm reading this as I think I will vote no on it. And I think hearing -- I mean to me that came up in our discussion all day today, is like, it seemed like we wanted to stay away from that point and try to sort of ramp up, and ramp up within customary and traditional and within the subsistence arena. So I guess I'm -- and I guess I'm going to vote no I guess because that's what I'm getting, is that we're sending this message is that's not our next -- we don't want that to be our next option, we can come back to the table and then ramp up again to open to all Federallyqualified users.

So I guess that's how I'm seeing -- I'm seeing as our actions are sort of ramping up, ramping up, ramping up, ramping up, so we got to Option A, and then we kind of amended to Option -- to add Option B, and then we haven't even got to the Option C yet, so I feel like if we vote no then if the caribou populations expand we can come back to open to all subsistence users before we open to the State. That's my understanding of it.

Thank you.

47 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 48 Coral.

0259	
1	Chris.
2	
3	MR. PRICE: Yeah. I have no comment.
4	
5	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.
6	W 11
7	Melissa.
8 9	MC DEDNG. Voc con trou hoor mo?
10	MS. BERNS: Yes, can you hear me?
11	MR. KOSO: Yeah, I could hear you now,
12	this darn was getting goofy here I was on
13	teleconference here.
14	tereconference nere.
15	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rick, you're on
16	who are you you're on the conference call.
17	
18	MR. KOSO: Yeah, I know, do you hear
19	me, my phone went out on me Della, sorry about that and
20	I was trying to redial it up there and it came back on,
21	so, alrighty, hopefully it'll last.
22	
23	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Thank
24	you. Thank you. Melissa.
25	
26	MS. BERNS: Yes. I think that, you
27	know, it is an aggressive well, it's kind of taking
28	a proactive step towards addressing this issue. You
29	know because of the harvest reports that were available
30	to us for 2020 and there being little effort to
31	harvest, that does I mean that does raise some
32	concern, you know, that perhaps there just won't be the
33 34	amount of people to harvest because it is such a remote location as well. But it is an effort and I do realize
35	that as this proposal moves forward that it will be,
36	you know, modified a little bit more, I'm sure.
37	you know, modified a fittle bit more, I m sure.
38	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,
	Melissa.
40	
41	MR. MIKE: Della, this is Donald.
42	·
43	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Donald
44	
45	MR. MIKE: Madame Chair.
46	
47	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:go ahead.
48	
49	MR. MIKE: Yeah, I just want to remind
50	

participants on the teleconference line, please check your line if it's muted, we're hearing some background noise interrupting the Council discussion. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 8 Donald. 9 10 MS. BERNS: Donald, that was probably 11 me talking and my kids in the background so I apologize 12 for that. 13 14 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. 15 16 MR. MIKE: Thank you. 17 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Natasha. 18 19 20 MR. CROWLEY: Madame Chair, this is 21 Dave Crowley, can I make a comment. 22 23 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Dave. 24 25 MR. CROWLEY: Okay. I just wanted to 26 clarify that our historic reported high harvest on 27 Unimak Island, and, again, this is reported, was 21 or thereabouts. So the historic high and this is back --28 29 going back to, I think, the early 2000s is less than 30 the proposed harvest level that we are now seeking. I 31 just want to make sure that that's understood. That 32 harvest is quite low on the island currently. 33 34 Thank you. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Dave. 37 And then Natasha, do you have any comments before we 38 take the vote. 39 40 Thanks, Madame Chair. MS. HAYDEN: 41 think that I guess that I am a little conflicted about 42 it as well. I agree that managers need to have available tools to them to keep the herd sustainable 44 but I also think that Coral brought up a really good 45 point about priority and precedence. That it's -- I 46 think I would be inclined to try to exhaust all options

Federal subsistence eligible priority

harvesters before having that State managed hunt.

guess I'm still conflicted about it but I don't know if

0260

47

48 49

50

related to

we're going to have any more discussion and thoughts about this. Priority -- maybe that would be -- you know, be considered prior to having a State harvest.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

8 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Donald, can you 9 hear me?

MR. MIKE: I'm sorry, yes, I can hear you loud and clear. I'm just hearing -- I think it was -- is this Melissa, I can hardly hear her. Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That was Natasha. Somebody -- it's like someone doesn't have their mic muted and are moving papers or something by their mic is what is sounds like. And what I understood from Natasha is that she's conflicted with just based on what she's heard.

 $$\operatorname{So}\ I$$  think I've reached out to everyone at this point.

I think my comments in regards to this is I would support it. And I support this because I am concerned of the over population and I think that, you know, Rick you hit on this earlier that with the current population caribou in 9D, which people are really -- these numbers haven't -- really haven't harvested a lot of caribou is because of the weather this past winter was horrid, and I think that was a high factor in contributing to the amount of harvest that people, if they've gotten out, they haven't really been able to get out and people were wanting to or trying to but the weather was bad; that's one thing.

The second thing you alluded to with 9D is basically the population in 9D is good and people most likely are going to hunt in 9D than going to Unimak. And I see the only reason that they would be hunting Unimak is if they're out on the fishing grounds on August 1st and are able to harvest a caribou if they're close enough on that side to do so. But I don't really see anybody going out of their way that lives in the 9D portion to going in to find, or going over to Unimak, you know, to hunt caribou. I think it's almost like an incidental thing, I'm there, I think I could do it and I've got a permit is what I

```
0262
    honestly believe happens, and you can correct me if I'm
 2
    wrong.
 3
 4
                    So I do support if the State is able --
 5
     can open and needs to open because we don't want the
 6
    population to explode and then we have the same problem
 7
    happen again. So that's kind of where I'm at. I would
     support something being able to being done if we need
 9
     to regulate that population.
10
11
                    Thank you.
12
13
                    So given all that, does anybody have
14
    any more discussion before we take this to a vote.
15
16
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is Coral.
17
18
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Coral.
19
20
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Well, I guess I'm still
21
     confused.
                I -- I guess what I would do is defer to
22
     really how the people want to vote that are closer to
23
                I guess through the discussion I heard -- I
     the area.
24
    don't know if I was hearing wrong but it was, you know,
25
    let's not have people from Kodiak flying in and hunting
26
    and let's not have people -- let's just open it up
27
    local, let -- let's keep it to the C&T communities, and
28
    then now open it up a little bit more to the Aleutians
29
    East and then now I'm hearing, well, let's just open it
30
    up to the whole state to resident and non-resident
31
    hunt. So I guess that's confusing tome, where I hear --
32
     so I guess I'm thoroughly confused now to where -- I
    would vote no but I guess I'll see -- I would defer
33
34
    since I don't live in the area.
35
36
                    MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair.
37
38
                    MS. CHERNOFF: I'll just defer.
39
40
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                    TRUMBLE:
                                                 Go ahead,
41
    Robbin.
42
43
                    MS. LAVINE: I hope I might be able to
44
     clarify....
45
46
                     (Teleconference
                                         interference
47
    participants not muted)
48
49
                    MS. LAVINE: I believe there's somebody
```

who has their mic unmuted. Please check your mics to make sure that they're muted.

I wanted to clarify that I believe that the Council just approved forwarding a proposal based on modified Option A, which is one bull by Federal registration permit, otherwise Federal public lands are closed to the taking of caribou except by residents of Akutan, Cold Bay, False Pass, Nelson Lagoon, King Cove and Sand Point. And I believe that motion passed and you're moving forward with that wildlife proposal.

I believe now there's a separate motion to consider additional language that allows for an expansion of the hunt to non-Federally-qualified residents if a particular limit has been breached. So if the population expands then, at that point, there may be more opportunities for rural residents of Alaska, or State residents of Alaska, there may be an opportunity for a State hunt in consultation with the managers, but only after a certain population limit was breached.

 $\,$  Am I -- is that  $\,$  what I was hearing and does this help, Coral, thank you.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, thank you, Robbin. Yeah, I know exactly what these motions say but I'm just saying that it's confusing to me because I've heard a lot of limit, limit, limit, and let's limit tightly and then now I'm hearing a lot of people go —say that, yeah, it'd be great for the State to open it up if it reaches those. So it's just super inconsistent to me. But I'll vote how I feel is right to vote, of course, but, yeah, I'm just confused. Sorry, the inconsistencies in the messages that I'm hearing.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Does anybody else have any comments in regard to the motion, or need any more clarification.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, Donald, do you want to do a roll call, please.

```
0264
 1
                     MR. MIKE: Thank you, Madame Chair.
 2
     Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. A roll call on the
     motion to open a State hunt, registration hunt, and
     regulations on -- to the amendment and as stated by Ms.
 5
     Skinner.
 6
 7
                     Mr. Pat Holmes.
 8
 9
                     MR. HOLMES: Yes.
10
11
                    MR. MIKE: Mr. Rick Koso.
12
13
                    MR. KOSO: Yes.
14
15
                    MR. MIKE: Mr. Sam Rohrer.
16
17
                    MR. ROHRER: Yes.
18
19
                    MR. MIKE: Mr. Chris Price.
20
                     MR. PRICE: Yes.
21
22
23
                     MR. MIKE: Ms. Coral Chernoff.
24
25
                     MS. CHERNOFF: No.
26
27
                     MR. MIKE: Ms. Rebecca Skinner.
28
29
                     MS. SKINNER: Yes.
30
31
                    MR. MIKE: Ms. Natasha Hayden.
32
                     MS. HAYDEN: Yes.
33
34
35
                     MR. MIKE: Natasha Hayden.
36
37
                     MS. HAYDEN: Yes.
38
39
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you.
40
                     Ms. Melissa Berns.
41
42
43
                     MS. BERNS: Yes.
44
                    MR. MIKE: And Ms. Della Trumble.
45
46
47
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes.
48
49
                     MR. MIKE: Motion passes, eight to one,
```

0265
1 thank you, Madame Chair.
2
3 MS. SKIN

MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

6 Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. wanted to, I guess clarify or reiterate that Rick's motion to -- Rick's motion was for all -- was for Aleutians East Borough, so I think a couple people have spoken since then and they've referenced Nelson Lagoon and Cold Bay but that's not what Rick's motion was, Rick's motion was Aleutians East Borough. The reason I want to make sure that that's clear is when the work is done on a C&T determination, I just want to make sure that the correct area and the, you know, all of the residents -- the people living within that area, which was defined as Aleutians East Borough is actually encompassed in the C&T determination. So I quess that was just more a clarification of the motion that was made earlier.

Thank you.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, Rebecca, that's the case, the Aleutians Borough encompasses all of the villages that we talked about. It excluded in Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon, and by putting in the Aleutians East Borough it takes Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon, so it includes all of the villages within the region there.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, and, this is Rebecca, and that was my understanding as well. I just want to make sure that as this is being captured, and I'm mostly looking at the language that's being typed on the screen that the motion was Aleutians East Borough so if the question is what area are we talking about to determine residency eligibility for this hunt, the answer is, Aleutians East Borough. But, yeah, I absolutely -- yeah, and that was my understanding of your motion.

Thank you, Rick.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Is everybody ready to move on.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: The one thing that we didn't -- finishing with King Salmon and the Unimak 10 caribou, we didn't have Lisa Fox on the agenda, Lisa are you online and have any kind of report to give?

MS. FOX: Yeah, Madame Chair, this is Lisa Fox. I am here to answer any questions anybody has but I don't have a specific report to give. Tyler Lawson kind of covered everything for subsistence and for the McLees project.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. I just wanted to make sure that people knew you were online also if they have any questions for you.

The next person on the agenda is Jackie Keating.

MS. KEATING: Good afternoon. Thanks, Madame Chair. And hi everybody, this is Jackie Keating with the Division of Subsistence for Alaska Department of Fish and Game based out of Anchorage. And thanks for the time slot, I guess I'll say by thanking everybody for giving us time to talk and thanks for all the work people have put into this meeting going so smoothly. I know it takes a lot of effort for Donald Mike and everybody else, so just thank you very much.

We do have some supplemental materials so if you're a Council member, I believe for you it would be Item D2 that got mailed out, and if you're looking online we've got a handout labeled ADF&G Division of Subsistence update. So what I'd like to today is just talk through the three projects that we currently have funded by the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, and then give a quick update on the three proposals that we will be submitting for this current funding cycle for 2022.

So I will start with the first one listed on that handout, it's FRMP 18-451. And I've talked about this project several times at these meetings now. This is one that we're very close to

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

wrapping up, it was our comprehensive subsistence surveys in the Kodiak communities of Old Harbor, Larsen Bay and Akhiok. Where we are with this is the very final stages of writing the report and we've been in touch with those three tribal councils to figure out how each of them wants to do their data review meetings. We, of course, most likely will not be traveling in person so we're working with them to see how they'd like us to conduct those individually. will be presenting results of this project at the Kodiak Marine Science Symposium which will be the end of April here and then you'll be seeing a final report from us for this project within this calendar year. So really looking forward to getting those results published and they'll be online too in our community subsistence information so you can see all those estimates pretty soon.

17 18 19

20

And if you're looking at the handout, I'm going to skip to the last page to stick with Kodiak for a moment.

212223

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

We have FRMP 2020-450, which is the comprehensive subsistence surveys for the Kodiak road system. And this one is a collaboration with the Sun'ag Tribe of Kodiak and the Kodiak Wildlife Refuge. We're excited about this because we haven't done these surveys on the road system since 1993 so it's long overdue. I want to thank the OSM Staff and both Tom and Matt at Sun'aq Tribe for being flexible and being great partners. We decided together to postpone this project by one full year with the hopes of doing it in person next year. And with vaccine rollout and all of that it's looking super promising that we can actually do that in person so that'll be happening right around this time next year. That means we'll be starting to work with Sun'aq this coming fall to identify local research assistants, start doing outreach, being on the ground to do our ground-truthing and things like that and then have our Staff on the ground probably February of 2022 to conduct those surveys. That'll be for the 2021 harvest year. And at the next Council meeting for this group I'll give an update on how all that is progressing.

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

And then the big one to talk about today is FRMP 18-450, which is the comprehensive surveys out in Unalaska. And this one, again, I just want to start by thanking the QTribe, they've been

4 5

6

7

8

9

amazing, especially Chandra specifically who's been super helpful. Again,

OSM has been helpful too, and really flexible with helping us figure out how to do this in Covid times. And we had to redesign this project fairly significantly and it became a bit of an experiment to see how we could make this happen. These surveys, much like the Kodiak road system, we haven't been able to conduct since the early '90s so it was important that we figured out how to do this and how to do it safely.

10 11 12

13

14

15

So I'll start by prefacing the fact that we're still right smack dab in the middle of this so I'll give an update about where we're at. And I want to dive in a little bit to how we redesigned this just so you understand how this is working.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

So essentially we redesigned our normal in-person comprehensive surveys that we'd be on the ground conducting to be a self-administered survey that got dropped off to all permanent residences Unalaska. Important things to stress is that even though the survey tool itself got redesigned, it's still compatible with all the information that we have available online for the community subsistence information system so it fills all those regular data needs. In the fall we did a whole lot of outreach in the community. We were on the radio, we advertised to hire local research assistants. We worked closely with the QTribe. We did a couple innovative things we don't normally do, one of them was hosting an art contest with local use about why wild foods are important to them so you'll see in the handout that the cover of the survey that got delivered to everyone features local artwork by a very talented youth artist. We also were gifted a very generous donation that allowed us to offer a raffle prize to folks that complete the survey. So if people send the survey back they fill out a separate raffle card. We'll be drawing that online on KUCB on the air in probably about a month once everything is all wrapped up. And then we had our local research assistants deliver to households these survey bags that were waterproof plastic bags that had the pen, the survey, a letter explaining the whole project, we sent out individual coffee packets to encourage people to fill them out and they included a postage paid envelope to mail everything back to us. Those went out January 18th. They've been slowly trickling back in ever since. And where we currently

are is we've got enough back, about 80 so far, to do an analysis that we're relatively confident in. Where we'd like to be is almost double that. So we're in the process of doing more outreach and then we'll also be shifting a bit and trying to do some of these surveys over phone calls with a random sample that we're working on at this very moment.

So I'll keep everybody updated on that. But the big takeaway -- there's kind of two items I'd like to point out.

The first takeaway from all this is that the research methods look different than they normally do. This has been an experiment so we've had to try a lot of different things. However, we're very confident that we will end up with estimates that are reliable and that everybody can feel good about.

 The second takeaway is, you know, when we were kind of redesigning this whole process we said that it's going to go one of two ways, it's either going to be a huge success and we'll get hundreds of surveys back, or it will prove what we kind of thought, which is that there's really no replacement for our traditional methods for us being on the ground and interacting with people and having that face to face contact because now we're kind of in this position of having to try all these different things to get an adequate response.

 And both of those outcomes are good because it shows that what we do traditionally works, and that we can make other things work in the meantime but that there's good reason to go back to these inperson surveys when we're able to do so even though it's more timely and can be expensive and things like that, that it works well.

So those are kind of the main takeaways from it. Of course, the surveys are one part of that project. We also still hope to do participant observation and key respondent interviews. So tentatively we hope to potentially be able to travel this summer, of course, it's all Covid dependent.

I would like to briefly introduce the three proposals that are relevant to this region that we'll be submitting for the current FRMP call. We've

got a lot more than three coming from the Division but there are three for Southwest.

3

5

6 7

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The first one will be to comprehensive harvest surveys in Ouzinkie and Port Lions. Some of you might remember we had kind of hoped to wrap that up into the Kodiak road system proposal that we put in two years ago but it got a bit too expensive so we broke it out as a separate one. would complete updating harvest data for the whole Kodiak area now that we've done the south end of the island and the road system is in the cue too. It will be a collaboration with the communities of Ouzinkie and Port Lions as well as the Kodiak Refuge, so if there's a Refuge Information Technician hired by then that individual is going to actually help us out on the ground with the surveys. And I wanted to give a quick shout out to both communities who were incredibly responsive and great about getting us letters of support so really excited to work with them, whether it's through this project, if it gets funded, or in the future.

222324

25

26

27

28 29 The second proposal will be to do the comprehensive surveys in False Pass and Nelson Lagoon. There's a whole lot of communities out there that we'd like to reach but due to our Staffing limitations and simply the amount of funding available we decided to focus on these two for this funding cycle with the hopes of doing more in another funding cycle.

30 31 32

33

34

Then the third one is more relevant to Bristol Bay but we'd like to do surveys in Manokotak as well, a partnership with the Bristol Bay Native Association.

35 36 37

 $\label{eq:so-you'll-be} \mbox{ seeing those three coming} \\ \mbox{from us.}$ 

38 39 40

 $$\operatorname{If}$  anyone  $% \operatorname{I}$  has any questions I  $% \operatorname{I}$  am here and happy to answer them.

41 42 43

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Jackie. Any questions or comments for Jackie.

44 45 46

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, this is Pat.

47

48 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

49

MS. KEATING: Hi Pat.

1 2

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, hi, Jackie. I think it's just really commendable what you folks are being able to accomplish here and your adaptive sampling techniques are good. And it'd be really interested to see how your microphone survey compares to the mail-in surveys on things. And it's also heartening to learn that you're going to be doing some work at False Pass and Nelson Lagoon. And one of the places we got, just a couple weeks ago, was, two notes from Cold Bay from folks that felt that there were some difficulties there. How long has it been since a survey in Cold Bay, maybe like 2016 or farther back?

MS. KEATING: Yeah, Cold Bay I would have to look up the exact date but that's actually one of the more recent ones we did in the past few years.

MR. HOLMES: Uh-huh. Okeydokey, well, thank you much.

MS. KEATING: Yeah, thanks, Pat. And thanks to -- I forgot to mention this but Pat and several other of the Council members were very helpful in helping us with this current funding proposal, for some of the ideas so thanks for always letting me bounce ideas off of you.

MR. HOLMES: I was wondering, could you maybe forward an electronic copy of the last one for Cold Bay to Donald Mike to send to us just so we could kind of maybe have that as a reference point.

MS. KEATING: Yeah, you bet, I'm happy to do that.

MR. HOLMES: Thank you so much.

MS. CHERNOFF: Madame Chair, Coral.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, go ahead, Coral.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, I was wondering if we could get a copy of the survey emailed to us, and could you tell us like how many questions or how -- how much time do you expect for a survey to be filled out, how much time is spent?

MS. KEATING: Sure. Through the Chair. Hi, Coral. I'm happy to send the survey and I'll send that to Donald to distribute. It's quite lengthy because it's one of the comprehensive so I think it came out to be 23 pages. That said, every page at the top's got a filter question so we see, you know, of course a wide range, a wide spectrum of the amount of time it takes people because it's just as important for us to capture folks that don't harvest as it is for people that do so what we've been saying is if you don't really use or harvest a lot of resources it takes folks five minutes because you fill in the basic household info and kind of say no, no, no to most pages and fill out the final page. Folks that harvest a lot, it could take, you know, a half hour or something like that which is part of the reason why we sent out coffee packets to folks, too, for extra incentive.

And another thing I'll mention is that it looks very different than the form that we traditionally use, which is a lot more complex so another positive that's coming out of having to redesign a survey like this is that when we go back to in-person surveys we now have a different interface that's gong to be easier for our local research assistants to use and I think it's going to make our normal survey process more efficient, which is great.

MS. CHERNOFF: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other....

MR. HOLMES: Yeah....

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: ....comments or

questions.

MR. HOLMES: Jackie, one question. And I know this is -- I don't know that it could ever work out but being's you're going to be doing False Pass and Nelson Lagoon, I wonder if there might be some way where there could be adaptive mini-thing, if we find that there's troubles at Cold Bay, because to get to False Pass or Nelson Lagoon you fly to Cold Bay and then go out from there usually, and I don't know what the charter planes are doing now, but that used to be the route, but I just thought I would just raise that little question to cogitate on and see if there's some way we could give you support if it does become

0273 necessary to get an update there. 2 3 MS. KEATING: Yeah, thanks for that. 4 So are you suggesting the idea of doing another round 5 of surveys in Cold Bay? 6 7 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, that'd be cool. 8 Thank you so much. Take care. 9 10 MS. KEATING: Okay. 11 12 MR. HOLMES: And I'm sorry that Jim 13 finally decided to retire after 40 years. 14 15 MS. KEATING: Well, we're 16 fortunate that he's giving us quite a bit of volunteer time so we have not lost Jim Fall completely, we bug 17 18 him with plenty of questions. 19 20 MR. HOLMES: Fine is kind. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other 23 comments. 24 25 (No comments) 26 27 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 28 Jackie, and then just..... 29 30 MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, Della, this is..... 31 32 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. 33 34 MS. CHERNOFF: This is Coral. 35 Jackie, when you send out these surveys I know you said 36 how many have come back so far but do you put a 37 tentative send back date on these surveys? 38 39 MS. KEATING: We do. Yep, that's a great question. And when the original letter went out 40 41 we had a day scheduled that was before now and then we 42 up extending the deadline so we sent two different waves of postcards to ever P.O. Box in Dutch Harbor and Unalaska. There was a notification postcard 44 45 that went out before we dropped off surveys and then we extended the deadline to later in March so another 46 47 postcard went out alerting people of that deadline. 48 We've also done multiple interviews on the radio out

there to talk about what those deadlines are.

49

0274 1 MS. CHERNOFF: Thank you. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Coral, does that 4 answer your question? 5 6 MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, it did, thank you 7 very much. 8 9 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. And, 10 Jackie, if you look at those -- your poster that basically on harvest -- filling out your survey today 11 12 and looking at the prizes, you have five \$200 ones and 13 one, \$1,000 grand prize, so that should give some 14 people some good incentive to fill out your surveys. 15 16 MS. KEATING: Yes, indeed, we're super 17 lucky to be able to offer that and happy to do so and 18 we'll be excited to announce that live on the radio. 19 20 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right, thank 21 you for your report. 22 23 MS. KEATING: Thanks, Madame Chair. 24 25 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And I believe 26 the last -- Tyler, you did your report, so Kelly 27 Krueger. 28 29 MS. KRUEGER: Hi, Madame Chair. 30 is Kelly Krueger. I don't have another report to do 31 besides for the one that I already did on Buskin 32 sockeye. 33 34 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. 35 All right, Donald, I think at this point we are to 36 Office of Subsistence Management, is that correct, or 37 are we through all of the reports. 38 39 MR. MIKE: Yes, we are. 40 Madame Chair, George 41 MR. PAPPAS: 42 Pappas. I'm the one to provide the OSM report. But I 43 just had one final question about the proposal 44 synthesis process. 45 46 Are you going to want to talk about 47 ptarmigan at all, you talked about it earlier. It was 48 on the pre-meeting roster for getting information from 49 ADF&G, their discussions about concerns, but we haven't

discussed a proposal. So I'm not encouraging that, I'm just bringing it to -- are we done with the proposal synthesis, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I'm a little confused, can you repeat that again, please.

MR. PAPPAS: I'm sorry. So we're getting to the end of the meeting and I do have an 800 word report but I just wanted to make sure that are we finally -- or excuse me -- are we done with discussing any more proposals?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: For wildlife proposals, I think we're good. I'm assuming. The other issue I think that was brought up in regard to Cold Bay, I don't know what we can -- what -- I know I read the one testimony, or letter that I got and I tried to find the other one here and I don't have it, I think Donald you might have it, the shorter one. And I quess with the issues surrounding Cold Bay, it's so hard to try to do anything -- my recommendation was going to be to have the meeting in Cold Bay this fall and taking a look at some of the issues that were expressed in regard to the potential -- or the potential in regard to the birds or the -- you know, the question about having a subsistence fish -- the Russell as an option, you know, I'm not sure where we're at in all those things or how even to proceed except to bring them to the table, and I'm hoping that we can get more information between now and our fall meeting to try to determine what next best steps are to take to the table in hopefully Cold Bay.

MR. PAPPAS: Excellent plan. I appreciate it. I wasn't questioning it, I was just looking for guidance. Sounds good. So let's make a transition into OSM report. So bear with me, I've got five subject matters and I'll try to make this quick but understandable.

We'd like to thank you for all your hard work as volunteers. We know this has been one long year for us with Covid. And on that note, for teleconferences, we're holding all of our Regional Advisory Council meetings via teleconferences right now. This decision was made with the utmost consideration and concern for the health and safety of Council members, families, rural communities, the

public and Staff, who are all part of the Council meetings. The health and safety of everyone is our highest priority. The CDC and Prevention guidelines suggest people avoid travel and refrain from meeting in person to minimize risk and help prevent the spread of Covid.

The RACs are the foundation of Alaska's Federal Subsistence Management Program. We recognize that holding face to face Council meetings in rural communities across Alaska is preferable for engaging the public most effectively. The Federal Subsistence Management Program is committed to resuming in-person Council meetings across Alaska as soon as it is possible to do so safely. And I would love to see everybody in Cold Bay, that's an excellent place to start.

OSM Staff changes. We've had quite the tumultuous couple of years.

Doctor Brent Vickers, hired on as Anthropology Lead. A very genius individual.

Greg Risdahl who was the Fisheries Lead as moved over to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife [sic] in January and will be the representative on the InterAgency Staff Committee to the Federal Subsistence Board.

I'm currently acting in Greg's position for four months while they figure out what they're going to do next.

And also, let's see here, Katya Wessels was the -- or currently is the OSM Policy Coordinator but she was promoted Council Division Coordinator, so she'll be the lead for the Council Coordination Division coming up in about a month once her detail's done.

We had a Steve Fadden come from the Fish and Wildlife Refuges in the Lower48 to act as the Fisheries -- or excuse me -- the Wildlife Division Chief, because you know the Wildlife Division lead also moved on and he, Chris McKee, is also on the InterAgency Staff Committee.

And lastly one of our clerks at the

office has taken a position with the military, Department of Defense recently.

So the Council application process. That all got -- we had quite a problem, a lot of empty positions, long-termers, we opened the meeting up talking about, and I have new information on that. And that is -- and I believe I touched on it yesterday, that, all but, I believe 8 positions have been filled, all came in late a couple nights ago which is good news for the Program. Because some of the Regional Advisory Councils.....

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hello?

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, Rebecca's on.

MR. MIKE: Madame Chair, George just got dropped off online so he should get back in a second here or so.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I was just -- and while we're waiting for George to get back up, I was thinking in relation to the Cold Bay letter we did get some important information during our meeting here, the waterfowl questions are kind of out of our league and covered by AMBCC, and then the seasonal distribution on the spring or late winter hunt, same kind of problems here in Kodiak, but that's got to be addressed through Treaty changes, if they change the season availability on the emperor geese hunt and what not. And I think the salmon question, while, you know, you can't really address how many people are fishing, it sounded like this year that there was a significant reduction in the return of salmon in both those systems of question. And I think that you've alerted sportfish and the commfish folks that monitor the streams on that, so hopefully they'll keep an eye on things. And to get a differential on subsistence, I don't know. But on the ptarmigan, I think that the State chap pointed out that they had dropped a couple years ago because of the populations on the Peninsula, dropped it from 20 to 10 per day, and that basically it's a tough

critter to manage because the females move all around and it seemed like the biggest factor was variable weather and wetness and amount of snow. And so that might be a difficult thing to try to address correctively.

So I think your questioning on those, Lisa gave us -- the Council, a few items to think about.

Thank you, ma'am.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. And I'd also like to talk to a lot more residents at King Cove [sic] to get different views on what their thoughts are.

MR. PAPPAS: Madame Chair, George, I'm back, and I could be done in about 45 seconds.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, George, go for it.

MR. PAPPAS: All right, I'll skip the Council nominations and issues there, we had all kinds of challenges but I think we have a full load right now.

 $\label{eq:RealID} \mbox{ RealID for travelers to Council meetings.}$ 

 Remember, the Council members have been reminded the requirements to have a RealID so you can travel through airports. This has been postponed until October 1st, 2021. I know that's going to be difficult for folks that are remote.

And, again, I have the statement about the lawsuit with the state of Alaska from August 10th. And this is also was related to some of the other issues that are on deck that I referenced earlier.

On August 10th, 2020, the State of Alaska filed a lawsuit against the Federal Board after it adopted emergency special action WSA19-14. This allowed the village of Kake to engage in a community harvest of two antlered moose and five male Sitka black-tailed deer. Also lands in Units 13A and 13B to non-Federally-qualified moose and caribou hunters. As

part of the lawsuit the State asked the court to issue two preliminary injunctions. One to prevent the Unit 13 closure from taking effect and another vacating the Kake hunt and prohibiting the Board from allowing any additional emergency hunts related to the impacts of Covid19. On September the 18th the U.S. District Court denied the State's request for a preliminary injunction on the Unit 13 closure. The court found that, because the State has not demonstrated either a likelihood of success or serious questions on the merits of its claims, the court need not consider the remaining elements of the preliminary injunction analysis.

Two months later on November the 18th, the Court also denied the State's motion for a preliminary injunction on the Kake hunt after Judge Gleason concluded that the State had not demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits, raising serious questions on the merits of its claim, or demonstrated any likelihood of irreparable harm.

While these rulings on preliminary injunction are encouraging, they do not resolve the Settlement negotiations between the litigation. Department of Justice, the DOI Solicitor's Office, and USDA Office of General Counsel, and the State are The parties have agreed to delay the court ongoing. proceedings while these discussions are underway. Barring a settlement, the Solicitor's Office now estimates that the briefings should be complete in summer 2021 and that the court will issue its decision in late summer.

Based on legal guidance, Program Staff does not comment on any active litigation directed against the Federal Subsistence Board beyond what has been set forth here in front of you.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

That's my required OSM report.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, George. Are there any comments or questions for George.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none. I

do believe, Donald, are we down basically to the calendar?

MR. MIKE: Yes, Madame Chair. You just need to confirm. The meeting calendar begins on Page 115 and the Council needs to confirm its fall 2021 meeting date, which was selected to be September 27 and 28 in Unalaska.

Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. If I may, if we are able to travel, at this point, Chris, I think this was set up for Unalaska based on a conference that was going to be there, but also McLees, is that correct?

 MR. PRICE: Yeah, but we've changed that conference to virtual now in September so I'm supportive of the Cold Bay location. Whatever the Council decides. But we're -- you know, we're just not going to be prepared for that in 2021.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. Is there any other suggestions in regards to the date and location.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: I think a change to Cold Bay is quite reasonable and it'd be an important thing to do. You might want to shift it one day into the week so that that might give travel or who knows when they -- if there is a flight, when the flights would be to Cold Bay so that would have to be flight dependent. And, you know, I don't particularly like spending time in Anchorage if I don't have to, but whatever works for the majority would be swell, but you might want to have some flexibility within that month -- or that week.

Thank you, ma'am.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. What you might consider possibly everyone is the 29th is on a Wednesday and Alaska would fly into Cold Bay. Our meetings usually run two days, you need to -- if we get done -- meeting Thursday and Friday, Alaska flies

0281 back into Anchorage in Saturday, however if we're able to get into Cold Bay early enough on Alaska we may start that afternoon and be able to go into Thursday and Ravn does fly out of Cold Bay on Fridays. 5 6 MR. HOLMES: That'd be a good plane. 7 8 MR. KOSO: Della, what date was that in 9 February, or not February or is that September we're 10 talking. 11 12 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, September, 13 and actually Ravn flies into Cold Bay on a Monday so 14 flying in there on the 27th, Pat would work, and if 15 we're able to get back out on the Wednesday, Alaska would fly out of there on Wednesday evening, so that 16 17 would work. 18 19 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, roger that, 27th, 20 28th and out on the 29th, yeah, that would probably 21 work. At least we have some options there. 22 23 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Anybody else 24 have any recommendations. 25 26 MR. KOSO: That's good for me, Della. 27 28 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. And then 29 the winter meeting would be -- is that good for 30 everybody for the fall meeting. 31 32 (No comments) 33 34

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So, Donald, what about the winter meeting, it's not on this calendar?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Do}}$$  we schedule that in the fall for the meetings in 2022, is that correct?

MR. MIKE: Madame Chair. Let's see, I have the updated winter calendar that was issued March 8th. We did not select the winter calendar -- meeting dates at the fall meeting, so -- I believe so -- but, anyway, I just want to confirm with the Council members that September 27th and 28th in Cold Bay, is that the meeting dates, is that what I'm hearing, correct?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes.

48 49 50

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

44

45

46

```
0282
 1
                    MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you. So as far
    as winter 2022 -- Regional Advisory Council meeting
    calendar I sent out an updated version on email so we
    have the week of February 21st open and the week of
    February 28th, and March 14th and March 21. So those
 5
 6
    are the weeks that are open.
 7
 8
                    MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.
 9
10
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.
11
                    MR. HOLMES: Yeah, if it'd be possible,
12
13
    hopefully by then we'll be done with this Covid stuff
14
     and we haven't seen our grandkids and I'm sure a lot of
15
    other people haven't seen theirs, but the February
    would be a better time because March, I'd probably go
16
17
    down to the States and teleconference, but I can always
18
    be flexible if we go see them. So I'd like to toss out
19
    sometime there in that week of the 21st.
20
21
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                    TRUMBLE: Any other
22
    recommendations.
23
24
                    (No comments)
25
26
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Hearing none, I
27
    guess tentatively we'll go with that week Donald.
28
29
                    MR. MIKE: Okay. February 22 and 23,
30
    does that sound good?
31
32
                    MR. HOLMES: That'd be good for me.
33
34
                    MS. SKINNER: Yeah, sounds good.
35
36
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah.
37
38
                    MR. MIKE: Okay, it sounds like we come
39
     to a consensus with the Kodiak Council for the winter
     2022 Council meeting, February 22 and 23, and the
40
41
    location?
42
43
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Kodiak.
44
45
                    MR. MIKE: Okay, in Kodiak. Any other
46
    locations or just Kodiak will work for all members?
47
48
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                  Well, Mazatlan would be
```

50

nice.

0283 1 (Laughter) 2 3 MR. KOSO: Kodiak's fine with me. 4 5 (Laughter) 6 7 MR. HOLMES: It sounds like it might be 8 unanimous, we can't go, but everybody thinks it's a 9 good idea. 10 11 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I think we 12 got that scheduled, Donald. 13 14 MR. MIKE: Yes, Madame Chair. February 15 22, 23, 2022 Kodiak. 16 17 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, that works, thank you. I guess we're down to closing 18 19 comments. We'll go ahead and I'm going to start on the 20 bottom of this list. 21 22 Melissa. 23 24 Yep, I think this was a MS. BERNS: 25 good meeting. Glad to hear everyone's voices and glad 26 to see things still moving forward despite the pandemic 27 and everything that's been kind of thrown at us and meeting everybody in the 28 look forward to 29 hopefully. 30 31 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 32 Melissa. And it sure was good to hear your voice. 33 34 Natasha. 35 36 MS. HAYDEN: Thanks, Madame Chair. I'm 37 also really pleased to have an opportunity to spend 38 time with all of you this last two days. I'm really 39 appreciative that Rick Koso was able to rejoin us, in particular, he added a lot of value to the discussions 40 around caribou on Unimak Island and the proposed 41 42 closure coming up. Anyways, I'm really pleased to hear 43 the discussion from people who really -- that live and 44 from the region that the decisions that we're 45 making are going to have the most impact on. 46 47 So, thank you, and I look forward to 48 visiting you all in Cold Bay in the fall.

49

50

exciting.

```
0284
 1
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Thank you,
 2
    Natasha. And good to hear from you too.
 4
                    Rebecca.
 5
 6
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                     Thank you, Della.
 7
    wanted to thank all of the Staff, Federal and State
    Staff that called in to support this meeting through
 9
    presentations and information and thank all of my
10
    fellow Council members.
11
12
                    One thing I did want to call out is for
13
    the residents in Cold Bay, if they are interested in
14
    putting forward a proposal regarding the ptarmigan,
15
    which would
                  fall under this
                                     Federal subsistence
    wildlife proposal cycle, so proposals would be due May
16
    24th of 2021, obviously our RAC did not take up any
17
    ptarmigan proposals related to Cold Bay but that
18
19
    doesn't mean that residents there can't submit a
20
    proposal if they had an idea of how they'd like to see
21
    things changed. So I just wanted to highlight that.
22
    And hopefully word of that opportunity will get back to
23
    them in case they do want to put something forward.
24
25
                    Other than that, I think it was a good
26
    meeting and I look forward to our next meeting, whether
27
    it ends up being virtual or in person.
28
29
                    So thank you everyone.
30
31
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                               Thank you,
32
    Rebecca. And, thanks, for all your help.
33
34
                    Coral.
35
36
                    MS. CHERNOFF:
                                  Yeah, I'd like to thank
37
    everyone with all the agencies that gave us reports and
    sit there and answer all our questions, I thank you so
38
39
    much for that. And I guess just everyone be well and
40
    be healthy.
41
42
                    Thank you.
43
44
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                              Thank you,
45
    Coral.
46
47
                    Chris.
48
49
                    MR. PRICE: Hi, can you hear me?
```

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We can hear you.

MR. PRICE: Okay, thanks. Hey, thanks everybody. Appreciate the approach everybody did on this meeting and, you know, it didn't particularly involve Unalaska on that caribou issue but the Council, I want to work with you guys and it's exciting that you guys are trying to help people in the region get the food they need for their communities. So that's why I kind of got on here and enjoying the opportunity to participate. And, you know, look forward to a good summer here in Unalaska, getting our community back into collecting and harvesting food, and so on.

So thank you guys for your time today and I appreciate this meeting. And thank you Della for all your -- Della and Donald, for all you guys are doing to keep us on track and working together.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Chris. And, Chris, if I get a caribou this year, I'll send some to you in Coastal Transportation.

MR. PRICE: Sounds awesome.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Sam.

 MR. ROHRER: Thank you, Madame Chair. I just want to thank my fellow Council members for sitting through these two days of meetings. Thanks for your opinions. Always appreciate serving on this Council with each and every one of you. I want to thank the agency members, thank you guys for sitting in. It was certainly a real good turnout, so we appreciate that, appreciate all your presentations. The tribal folks, and the corporation folks, thank you for sitting in and giving us presentations. And I know we had a few public members, I'm not sure if any of them are still on, but if any still are on, we appreciate you tuning in as well.

Look forward to seeing everyone in person and it'll be nice to be back in person.

And I guess, lastly, I just want to thank you, Della, you always run a good meeting, I appreciate it and certainly appreciate you being

willing to Chair us one more year, so thanks for all you do. And that's all I got. MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Sam. And, thank you for all the help that you contribute also. Rick. Welcome back. MR. KOSO: Yeah, thanks Board members. It's great to be back. It's great to hear your voices again, and I'd sure like to thank you for putting as much effort as you did in today. I mean we really beat up that Cold Bay, Unimak Island today, and I sure appreciate your work on that. I think we accomplished a lot on that today. So outside of that, I'd just like to thank, you know, the State and Federal people for their reports. And look forward to meeting with you again, and, hopefully, at Cold Bay. MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick. Pat. MR. HOLMES: Yeah, well, at this..... MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Saved the best for last, Pat. MR. HOLMES: Hello. MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 

MR. HOLMES: Hello. Oh, roger that. I guess I can say I agree with everybody and I think it was a really good and productive meeting. I think we all learned a whole lot and we couldn't have done it without the Federal and State Staff and certainly couldn't do it without our grand lady, who Chairs our meetings, you just do a swell job, Della. And I was fantasizing here it would be really fun to see if we couldn't finagle a Coast Guard C-130 to go from Kodiak and stop all the way down, all the way out to Adak and go to the Pribilofs and then spin back again so folks could see our whole region because it's a magnificent place and we have magnificent people living here, including all you folks, so thank you so much.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. Yeah, that would be something.

2 4

5

6

I guess in closing, I just want to everybody, the Council members, everybody contributes to this Council and it works. Everybody puts a good effort into it.

7 8 9

Staff, thank you so much, Donald. Everybody. Just helping us to be able to do our jobs. It's very much appreciated.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

10

I think today, and the last couple days the amount of Staff we had, I think to help us, from the Federal and from the State contributed a lot to us making decisions but also to remember and remind ourselves, I think, what's there and then keep us updated to be able to make good decisions for the people that we serve. It's so important, that a lot of live off the lands and it's a good part of who we are and whether you're just a resident or you're Native or non-Native, it's what we are, it's what we're about, no matter what realm we are in this whole thing.

23 24 25

26

27

28

But I'd just like to say is thank you everybody. I appreciate all your efforts and the time that everybody put in and it was really good to be able to talk to everybody and look forward to being able to see each other face to face this fall in Cold Bay.

29 30 31

Thank you.

32 33

With that I guess.....

34 35

Madame Chair, this MR. MIKE: Donald.

36 37

38 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, 39 Donald.

40

41

42

43

44

45

MR. MIKE: Yeah, thank you, Chair. And I just wanted to extend my appreciation and acknowledge the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, particularly Mark Burch with his Staff, and a lot of the issues came up -- issue driven that guided our progress on the agenda item topics.

46 47 48

But anyway I'm on Microsoft Teams and I've never officially met most of the Council members,

```
0288
    and I hope to meet you in person some day and I
    appreciate the work you guys do. And congratulations
    to our Chair, Della Trumble, for running a great
    meeting. And I appreciate the Staff, OSM Staff on
    providing expert guidance and technical assistance.
 5
    And kudos to our IT person, Joshua -- not Joshua, but
 7
    Jared Stone, he helped out a lot on providing visuals
    on the Microsoft Teams platform. So thank you all and
 9
    I hope to meet you all one day.
10
11
                    Thank you.
12
13
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We do need a
14
    motion to adjourn, Donald.
15
16
                    MR. MIKE: Yes.
17
18
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: If we could get
19
    a motion to adjourn.
20
21
                    MR. KOSO: Della.
22
23
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.
24
25
                    MR. KOSO: I was just going to say I
26
    seen a little deal on AND there that the Biden
27
    Administration is backing the Trump Decision for the
28
    Road.
29
30
                    (Laughter)
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, yeah, how
33
    about -- anyway thank you so much everybody. I'm going
    to say, Rick, you must have made a motion to adjourn.
34
35
36
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I did.
37
38
                    (Laughter)
39
40
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And Pat seconds,
41
    I can hear him laughing.
42
43
                                    Thanks Rick, thanks
                    MR.
                         PRICE:
44
    everybody.
45
46
                    MR. ROHRER:
                                Thanks everyone.
47
48
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,
49
    everybody.
```

0289 1 2	MR. HOLMES: Quyana.
3	(Off record)
4 5 6 7	(END OF PROCEEDINGS)
8 9 10 11 12	
13 14 15 16	
17 18 19 20	
21 22 23 24	
25 26 27 28	
29 30 31 32	
33 34 35	
36 37 38 39	
40 41 42 43	
44 45 46 47	
48 49 50	

0290 1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )
4	)ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA )
6	of filmout
7	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
8	state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10	Reporters, and hereby certainy.
11	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through
12	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
13	KODIAK/ALEUTIANS FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
L 4	COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME II electronically on the 10th
15	day of March 2021;
16	day of haron 2021,
17	THAT the transcript is a true and
18	correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
19	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
20	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
21	ability;
22	
23	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24	party interested in any way in this action.
25	
26	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 7th
27	day of April 2021.
28	
29	
30	
31	Salena A. Hile
32	Notary Public, State of Alaska
33	My Commission Expires: 09/16/22
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
11	
12	
13	
14	
45	
16	
47	
48	
49	
50	